arXiv:1501.03368v1 [math.AG] 14 Jan 2015

Equivariant slices for symplectic cones

Travis Schedler

January 15, 2015

Abstract

The Darboux-Weinstein decomposition is a central result in the theory of Poisson (degenerate symplectic) varieties, which gives a local decomposition at a point as a product of the formal neighborhood of the symplectic leaf through the point and a formal slice.

Recently, conical symplectic resolutions, and more generally, Poisson cones, have been very actively studied in representation theory and algebraic geometry. This motivates asking for a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant version of the Darboux-Weinstein decomposition.

In this paper, we develop such a theory, prove basic results on their existence and uniqueness, study examples (quotient singularities and hypertoric varieties), and applications to noncommutative algebra (their quantization). We also pose some natural questions on existence and quantization of $\mathbf{C}^\times$-actions on slices to conical symplectic leaves.

1 Introduction

A *conical* symplectic or Poisson variety is one which is equipped with a contracting $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action which does not necessarily preserve the symplectic form or Poisson bracket, but rather rescales it.

Recently, the theory of conical symplectic resolutions ($\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant resolutions of singularities of a cone by a symplectic variety), and more generally, Poisson cones, has been widely studied not only in mathematics, but also in physics, and has applications and connections to representation theory, symplectic geometry, quantum cohomology, mirror symmetry, equivariant cohomology, and other subjects (see, e.g., [BPW12, BLPW] for an overview of some of these). We remark that these are very special varieties: for example, conical symplectic resolutions were shown recently to be rigid (in fact, that there are finitely many of a given dimension and bound on degree), in [Nam14].

One of the fundamental tools in the study of Poisson (or degenerate symplectic) varieties (not necessarily conical) is the Darboux-Weinstein theorem ([Wei83]; see also [Kal06, Proposition 3.3]), which gives a local structure for such varieties. Namely, recall that a symplectic leaf Z of such a variety X is defined as a locally closed subvariety on which the tangent space $T_z Z$ is equal to the span of the Hamiltonian vector fields at z, for all $z \in Z$.

Then the Darboux-Weinstein theorem says that a formal neighborhood $\hat{X}_z$ of z splits as a completed product $\hat{Z}_z \hat{\times} S$, for some formal transverse slice S to Z at z. This has been of fundamental use throughout the literature, perhaps even more so recently, in understanding the structure of the varieties and their quantization. For example, one can attach to the irreducible representations of the quantization their support, which are the closures of symplectic leaves. This allows one to apply geometry of X to the representation theory of its quantization. This idea perhaps appeared first in Lie theory, where one can attach to each irreducible representation of a semisimple Lie algebra a nilpotent (co)adjoint orbit, which is the associated graded ideal of its kernel (i.e., the associated primitive ideal) in the universal enveloping algebra, and study the primitive ideals with fixed support. Losev showed in, e.g., [Los10, Los12], that, by quantizing the Darboux-Weinstein decomposition, one can relate irreducible representations with a given support to finite-dimensional representations of the quantization of the slice S.

The main idea of the present paper is to replace a formal neighborhood of a point $z \in X$ by a formal neighborhood of the punctured line $\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot z$, which allows one to generalize the Darboux-Weinstein decomposition to a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant one.

We say that X admits a symplectic resolution if X is normal and there is a projective resolution of singularities $\tilde{X} \to X$ with $\tilde{X}$ symplectic. A significantly weaker condition is that X have symplectic singularities [Bea00, Definition 1.1]: this requires that X is normal, symplectic on its smooth locus, and that the pullback of the symplectic form under any (equivalently, every) resolution $\tilde{X} \to X$ extends to a regular (but possibly degenerate) two-form on $\tilde{X}$.

Let $\Delta^m := \mathsf{Spf}\, \mathbf{C}[\![x_1, \ldots, x_m]\!]$ be the formal disk (the reader preferring the analytic setting could instead work with a small m-disk, modifying the statements accordingly).

Theorem 1. Let X be a variety with a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action and a homogeneous Poisson structure of degree $-k$. Let Y be a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-stable leaf, and $y \in Y$ a point with trivial stabilizer under $\mathbf{C}^\times$. Then:

(i) There is a decomposition of $\mathbf{C}^\times$-formal schemes,

$$\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \cong \hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \hat{\times} S, \tag{2}$$

for some formal scheme S (equipped here with a trivial $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action).

(ii) S is equipped with a canonical Poisson structure, and $\hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \cong \mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{\dim Y - 1}$, equipped with a standard symplectic structure (see Theorem 19 below).

(iii) If X admits a symplectic resolution (or more generally has symplectic singularities in the sense of [Bea00]), then the Poisson structure on $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ is uniquely determined from that of S.

(iv) If the condition in (iii) holds and also S admits a (nontrivial) $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action giving its Poisson structure degree $-k$, then there is a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-Poisson isomorphism of the form (2), $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \cong \hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \hat{\times} S$ (i.e., the Poisson bivector on $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ is actually the sum of the canonical Poisson bivectors on $\hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ and on S).[1] In this case, S is Poisson isomorphic to every slice appearing in an ordinary Darboux-Weinstein decomposition, $\hat{X}_y \cong \hat{Y}_y \hat{\times} S$.

The theorem is proved in §3.3, using results from §§2–3. We also give a quantization of the above result (Theorem 49), where we show that every $\mathbf{C}^\times$-compatible quantization of X admits a direct-product decomposition parallel to the above.

We actually prove significantly stronger (although more technical) versions of the above theorem. In particular, it is not essential that the stabilizer of y be trivial, as explained in Theorem 31.(iv), and the assumption of (iii) can be relaxed to requiring that all Poisson vector fields on S are Hamiltonian (the infinitesimal analogue of the condition that symplectic torus actions are Hamiltonian), as explained in Corollary 40. In Theorem 42, we explain why this latter condition is implied when X has symplectic singularities, and hence when it admits a symplectic resolution.

As we explain in §3, the hypotheses of (iii) and (iv) arise naturally. In particular, Examples 28 and 29 are simple typical cases where neither the assumptions nor the conclusions of (iii) and (iv) hold. However, these assumptions are not necessary: for example, the conclusion of (iv) holds in the case $X = \mathfrak{sl}(2)^*$, as explained in the next subsection, even though this case does not satisfy the assumptions of (iii) (hence neither of (iv)).

The hypotheses of the theorem imply in particular that the slice S appearing in an ordinary Darboux-Weinstein decomposition admits a $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action. It seems to be an interesting open question whether, for arbitrary conical X admitting a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant symplectic resolution and $x \in X$, the ordinary Darboux-Weinstein slice S at x is conical (this holds in cases of interest such as hypertoric and quiver varieties, Kostant-Slodowy slices of the nilpotent cone, and linear quotient singularities). The theorem above motivates the perhaps more natural, stronger question:

Question 3. Suppose X is conical and admits a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant symplectic resolution with homogeneous symplectic form. Then for every point $x \in X$ with trivial stabilizer under $\mathbf{C}^\times$:

1. Does the S appearing in (2) admit a contracting $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action?

2. Can the action be taken to make the Poisson structure on S homogeneous?

3. Does this generalize to the situation where X need not admit a symplectic resolution, but has symplectic singularities (whose smooth locus has a homogeneous symplectic form)?

Note that, in this case, it is automatic that the degree of the generic symplectic form is positive (i.e., the degree of the Poisson bracket is negative). We will pose a quantum version of the above in Question 53 below.

Remark 4. In fact, as suggested by Namikawa, one can generalize the question to require only that X be normal and conical and have a sympletic form on the smooth locus of positive degree, and not to require that X have symplectic singularities. Moreover, as he pointed out, in this case, if the slices to all symplectic leaves are conical and have symplectic forms on their smooth locus of positive degree, then one can deduce à fortiori that X has symplectic singularities (by the proof of Lemma 2.4 of [Nam13a]).

---

[1] Note that this isomorphism differs slightly from the one in (i), as required since the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action on S has changed; however, they become the same after including either factor or after projecting to the first factor.

In this paper, we show that these questions have affirmative answers for linear quotient singularities (which rarely admit symplectic resolutions, as explained in [BS13]) and that (1) and (2) have affirmative answers for hypertoric varieties, and we explicitly compute the decompositions. For the case where X is the nilpotent cone of a semisimple Lie algebra (or its Kostant-Slodowy slices), see Remark 11 for a discussion.

Remark 5. It might be tempting to ask a stronger question than (2) above: Can S be taken to have a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action so that its Poisson structure has the same degree as that of X? However, the answer to this is negative in general. For example (cf. Remark 11 below), suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ is a semisimple Lie algebra and equip $\mathfrak{g}^*$ with its standard Poisson bracket of degree -1, defined by $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}^*) = \operatorname{Sym}\mathfrak{g}$. Let $X \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^*$ be the cone of elements $\langle x, - \rangle$ where $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ is ad-nilpotent and $\langle -, - \rangle$ is the Killing form (i.e., the cone of elements whose coadjoint orbit is stable under dilation). Then X is a closed Poisson subvariety, so has a Poisson bracket of degree -1. Let $Y \subseteq X$ be any coadjoint orbit (which is automatically conical). Then, a transverse slice to the orbit is given by the Kostant-Slodowy slice in $\mathfrak{g}$ intersected with the nilpotent cone. The latter has a well-known action, called the Kazhdan action, making it a Poisson cone with bracket, of degree -2. The Kazhdan action admits a square root, giving the Poisson bracket degree -1, if and only if e is even (i.e., for some $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$-triple (e, h, f), then $\operatorname{ad} h$ has only even eigenvalues). Note that X does admit a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant symplectic resolution, the well-known Springer resolution.

When e is not even, in general no $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action exists giving the Poisson bracket degree -1: for example, in the case when e is a subregular nilpotent and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$, then the slice is $\mathbf{C}[x, y, z]/(xy + z^n)$, with Poisson bracket $\{x, y\} = nz^{n-1}, \{z, x\} = x, \{y, z\} = y$, and when n is odd, there is no grading giving the bracket degree -1.

We apply these techniques in particular to the cases of quotient singularities (Section 4) and hypertoric varieties (Section 5). In these cases, we give explicit equivariant Darboux-Weinstein decompositions, which are Zariski local in the hypertoric case (and sometimes in the quotient case, but more generally étale local). These decompositions quantize to give tensor product decompositions of the noncommutative deformations, which unlike in previous literature now incorporate the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action: this means one obtains isomorphisms of *filtered* algebras, or alternatively graded $\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar]\!]$-algebras.

We mention one of the motivations for Theorem 1: a weak version, using only infinitesimal $\mathbf{C}^\times$-actions (i.e., Euler vector fields) appeared in the recent paper [PS14]. In the infinitesimal form, the result is immediate from the usual Darboux-Weinstein theorem. In [PS14], this was employed to study the structure of a canonical $\mathcal{D}$-module on Poisson varieties [ES10, ES12b, ES12a] which represent invariants under Hamiltonian flow. Namely, the latter $\mathcal{D}$-module admits a Jordan-Hölder decomposition whose composition factors are local systems on the leaves, and in certain cases, using Theorem 1 (or its infinitesimal version), one can show that it is a direct sum of intermediate extensions of explicit weakly equivariant local systems on these leaves ([PS14, Theorem 5.1]). In Corollary 44 and Remark 46 below, we describe the local equivariant structure of this $\mathcal{D}$-module without requiring the hypotheses of [PS14, Theorem 5.1] (which are only needed to express the global structure as the aforementioned direct sum).

We begin the paper, in §2, with easier, but still to our knowledge new, fundamental results on the Darboux theorem for $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant symplectic structures on smooth varieties with a nontrivial $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action. We show that, if we formally localize along a punctured line $\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot x$, the resulting formal $\mathbf{C}^\times$-Poisson scheme is completely classified by the degree of the symplectic form and the dimension of the vector space, and give the explicit formula for the structure. Then, using this section, we proceed to our main results in §3 and to examples and applications in §§4–5.

It should also be possible to give explicit formulas for the corresponding decomposition for Slodowy slices, quiver varieties, and more generally for Hamiltonian reductions of symplectic vector spaces or varieties (as well as to answer Question 3 above in these cases), which we will discuss elsewhere. The quantizations of these would yield decompositions for $U\mathfrak{g}$ and more generally for W-algebras, as well as for quantized quiver varieties and quantum Hamiltonian reductions.

1.1 The case of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and semisimple Lie algebras

Let us illustrate our decomposition result in a simple example: $X = \mathfrak{g}^*$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{C})$. This is equipped with a standard Poisson structure, which is given by the Lie bracket: the bracket on $\mathcal{O}(X) = \operatorname{Sym}\mathfrak{g}$ is the unique extension of the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying the Leibniz rule, $\{fg, h\} = g\{f, h\} + f\{g, h\}$. The symplectic leaves are the coadjoint orbits under $G = \mathsf{SL}_2(\mathbf{C})$ (which are equipped with the symplectic forms $\omega_{G\cdot\phi}(a(x)|_\phi, a(y)|_\phi) = \phi([x, y])$, for $\phi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, and $a(x)$ and $a(y)$ the vector fields of the infinitesimal adjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathfrak{g}^*$).

Although this does not satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1.(iii), we can still give its decomposition (e.g., Theorem 31 below still applies).

We are interested in decompositions along $\mathbf{C}^\times$-stable symplectic leaves other than the vertex, and the only such leaf is the unique nilpotent coadjoint orbit, $G \cdot \chi$, for $\chi = \langle e, - \rangle$ (with $\langle -, - \rangle$ the Killing form). To obtain a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant decomposition, we can formally localize along the punctured line $\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot \chi$. Let $c = 2ef + \frac{1}{2}h^2$ be the Poisson central element, which has degree two. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{O}(X)}_{\mathbf{C}^\times\cdot\chi} = \mathbf{C}[f, f^{-1}][\![e, h]\!] &\cong \mathbf{C}[f, f^{-1}][\![h]\!]\hat{\otimes}\mathbf{C}[\![c]\!] \\ &\cong \mathcal{O}(\widehat{\mathbf{A}^2}_{\mathbf{C}^\times\cdot\chi}\hat{\times}(\widehat{\mathbf{A}^1})_0) \cong \mathcal{O}(\widehat{G\cdot\chi}_{\mathbf{C}^\times\cdot\chi}\hat{\times}(\widehat{\mathbf{A}^1})_0), \quad (6)\end{aligned}$$

which is a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant Poisson decomposition.

Remark 7. Note the subtlety that, to get a direct product decomposition, the slice $\mathbf{C}[\![c]\!]$ had to be generated in degree two.

In fact, in this case we have a much stronger statement: the decomposition above holds Zariski locally:

$$\mathcal{O}(X \setminus \{f = 0\}) = \mathbf{C}[f, f^{-1}, h] \otimes \mathbf{C}[c] \cong \mathcal{O}((\mathbf{C}^\times \times \mathbf{A}^1) \times \mathbf{A}^1), \tag{8}$$

which is already a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-Poisson isomorphism. Similarly, for filtered quantizations, letting $C := ef + fe + \frac{1}{2}h^2 \in U\mathfrak{g}$ be the Casimir element,

$$U\mathfrak{g}[f^{-1}] = \mathbf{C}\langle f, f^{-1}, h\rangle/([h, f] + 2f) \otimes \mathbf{C}[C] = \mathbf{C}\langle x, x^{-1}, y\rangle/([x, y] - x) \otimes \mathbf{C}[C], \tag{9}$$

with $x = f$ and $y = \frac{1}{2}h$, with x and y in filtered degree one and C in filtered degree two. For a graded deformation quantization, letting $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g} := T\mathfrak{g}[\![\hbar]\!]/(xy - yx - \hbar[x, y])_{x,y\in\mathfrak{g}}$, we get

$$U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}[f^{-1}] = \mathbf{C}\langle x, y\rangle[\![\hbar]\!]/([x, y] - \hbar x)\hat{\otimes}\mathbf{C}[C], \tag{10}$$

which is graded with $|\hbar| = |x| = |y| = 1$ and $|C| = 2$. We can also invert $\hbar$ and get a decomposition over the Laurent field $\mathbf{C}(\!(\hbar)\!)$.

If we are interested in quantizations of the nilpotent cone, $\mathsf{Spec}\,\mathcal{O}(X)/(c)$, we can quotient (9) by the ideal $(C - \lambda)$ (or (10) by $(C - \lambda\hbar^2)$) for $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, and we recover the fact that inverting f in every quantization $U\mathfrak{g}/(C - \lambda)$ yields the algebra of differential operators on $\mathbf{C}^\times$.

Remark 11. We hope to discuss the generalization of the above to arbitrary semisimple $\mathfrak{g}$ in another paper. Here is a sketch: We are interested in the Poisson variety $\mathfrak{g}^*$, under dilation action, which gives the Poisson bracket degree -1. The symplectic leaves are the coadjoint orbits. We consider such an orbit closed under the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action, say $G\cdot\chi$ for $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Then there is a standard construction of a transverse slice to this orbit: For $\langle -, -\rangle$ the Killing form, let $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ be such that $\langle e, x\rangle = \chi(x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$; then e is ad-nilpotent. The Jacobson-Morozov theorem guarantees the existence of $h, f \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that (e, h, f) generate a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. To this is associated a transverse slice $S := \chi + \ker(\mathrm{ad}^* f)$, with $(\mathrm{ad}^* x)(\phi) := \phi \circ \mathrm{ad}(-x)$. The tangent space to the orbit $G \cdot \chi$ can be described as V^*, for $V := [f, \mathfrak{g}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$, equipped with the symplectic form $\omega_V(x, y) = \chi([x, y])$.

There is a canonical $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ which preserves S and restricts there to a contracting action to χ, called the Kazhdan action, given by $\lambda \cdot \phi = \lambda^{-2}\lambda^{\mathrm{ad}^* h}(\phi)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ and $\phi \in S$. However, this gives the Poisson bivector degree -2, unlike the standard dilation action on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ above. To fix this, we assume that e is even, which means that $\mathrm{ad}(h)$ acts only with even eigenvalues. Then, the Kazhdan action admits a square root, $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{-1}\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{ad}^* h}$. Using this action, the condition of Theorem 1.(iv) on the degree of the Poisson bivector is satisfied.[2]

Then, we have a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant isomorphism (a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant, quasiclassical analogue of [Los10, Theorem 1.2.1]):

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}^*}_{\mathbf{C}^\times\cdot\chi} \cong \widehat{V^*}_{\mathbf{C}^\times\cdot\chi}\hat{\times}\hat{S}. \tag{12}$$

---

[2] Since S is an affine space, even if e is not even, we can still pick some $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action for which the Poisson structure on S has degree -1, but this is not natural. Moreover, as explained in Remark 5, the intersection $S \cap \mathrm{Nil}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ with the nilpotent cone does *not* in general have a $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action giving the bracket degree -1.

Following [Los10], this yields a decomposition of a localization of a certain completion of the enveloping algebra $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}(i) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ denote the i-weight space of $\operatorname{ad} h$. Equip $\mathfrak{g}(-1)$ with the symplectic form $(x, y) = \chi([x, y])$, and let $\mathfrak{l} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(-1)$ be a Lagrangian. Set $\mathfrak{m} := \bigoplus_{i \leq -2} \mathfrak{g}(i) \oplus \mathfrak{l}$, and let $\mathfrak{n} := \mathfrak{m} \cap \ker(\chi)$. Then [Los10, Theorem 1.2.1] should strengthen to the following graded filtered isomorphism:

$$\widehat{U\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{n}}[f^{-1}] \cong \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{\dim Y/2-1}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{W}_\chi. \tag{13}$$

which is compatible with the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action by $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{\operatorname{ad} h/2}$ on the left-hand side, and the action on the first factor on the right-hand side by dilating in the $\mathbf{C}^\times$ direction. Here, $\mathcal{W}_\chi$ is the W-algebra quantizing S, which was denoted $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ in [Los10], and is defined as $(U\mathfrak{g}/U\mathfrak{g} \cdot \mathfrak{m}')^{\mathfrak{m}}$ for $\mathfrak{m}' = \{x - \chi(x) \mid x \in \mathfrak{m}\} \subseteq U\mathfrak{g}$. We equip it with the filtration obtained by reducing by half the degrees of the Kazhdan filtration (compatible with our grading on S above). From this, [Los10, Theorem 1.2.1] follows (in the case of even e) by completing along $\mathfrak{m}'$. This also recovers the example above (8),(9), in the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$.

When we replace X with the nilpotent cone $X = \operatorname{Nil}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, we obtain a decomposition $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot \chi} \cong \widehat{V^*}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot \chi} \hat{\times} (\widehat{S \cap X})$. In this case, the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied; the content here is the explicit identification of the slice with the Kostant-Slodowy slice $\widehat{S \cap X}$. The quantization then is the quotient of (13) by the augmentation ideal of the center of $U\mathfrak{g}$. As a corollary, we can deduce that Question 3 has a positive answer for the nilpotent cone.

1.2 Conventions

We will work with varieties or formal schemes over $\mathbf{C}$. Thus, $\mathbf{A}^n = \mathbf{C}^n$ is affine space. When we say a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-variety (or formal scheme), we mean a variety (or formal scheme) equipped with a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action. For an affine variety, this just means that the algebra of functions is $\mathbf{Z}$-graded. When we say a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-Poisson variety (or formal scheme), we mean one equipped with a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action and a Poisson structure homogeneous for this action. A $\mathbf{C}^\times$-Poisson (iso)morphism is a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant Poisson (iso)morphism. When f is a homogeneous element of a $\mathbf{Z}$-graded algebra, then $|f|$ will denote its degree.

1.3 Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Ivan Losev and Hiraku Nakajima for useful discussions, and Yoshinori Namikawa for his feedback on Question 3. The impetus for this work was [PS14], and I thank Nick Proudfoot for his collaboration there. This work was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1406553.

2 $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant formal symplectic geometry

Given an affine $\mathbf{C}^\times$-variety X and $x \in X$, let $\bar{x}$ denote the image of x in the categorical quotient $X/\!/\mathbf{C}^\times = \operatorname{\mathsf{Spec}} \mathcal{O}(X)^{\mathbf{C}^\times}$.

Lemma 14. Let X be an irreducible affine variety with a faithful $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action, and $x \in X$ a point with trivial stabilizer. Then there is a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-stable affine open subvariety U containing x together with an isomorphism $U \cong \mathbf{C}^\times \times U/\!/\mathbf{C}^\times$, such that $x \mapsto (1, \bar{x})$.

Remark 15. By Sumihiro's theorem, we can drop the assumption that X is affine if we assume that it is normal, since then every orbit is contained in a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-stable open affine subvariety.

Proof of Lemma 14. Let $t \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ be any homogeneous function (of weight one) which restricts on the line $\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot x$ to a homogeneous coordinate function (of weight one by our assumption). Let U be the complement of the locus where $t = 0$. Then U is stable under the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action, so $\mathcal{O}(U)$ is spanned by homogeneous functions. Every homogeneous function is of the form ft^k where f has weight zero, i.e., $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)^{\mathbf{C}^\times}$, and k is an integer. Thus the inclusion of algebras $\mathcal{O}(U)^{\mathbf{C}^\times} \otimes \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] \to \mathcal{O}(U)$ is an isomorphism, i.e., $U \cong \mathbf{C}^\times \times U/\!/\mathbf{C}^\times$ as $\mathbf{C}^\times$-varieties (giving $U/\!/\mathbf{C}^\times$ the trivial $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action). ☐

From now on, we will use the following notation for a locally closed affine subvariety Y of a (not necessarily affine) variety X. Let $U \subseteq X$ be an open affine subset such that Y is closed in U (i.e., obtained by inverting an element whose vanishing locus on $\bar{Y}$ is $\bar{Y} \setminus Y$). Then the completion $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(X)_Y$ is defined as the completion $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(U)_Y$, which clearly does not depend on the choice of open affine subset U. We set $\hat{X}_Y := \mathsf{Spf}\, \hat{\mathcal{O}}(X)_Y$. We deduce the following corollary:

Corollary 16. Let X be a (not necessarily affine) irreducible variety with a faithful $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action, and $x \in X$ a point having trivial stabilizer. Then the formal neighborhood $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot x}$ of $\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot x$ is $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariantly isomorphic to the completed product $\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} Z$, where $Z = \mathsf{Spf}\, \mathcal{O}(Z)$ is a formal affine scheme with the trivial $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action.

Note here that $\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} Z$ is, by definition, $\mathsf{Spf}\, \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}(Z)$. In this case, $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ is equipped with the $\mathfrak{p}$-adic topology for $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{O}}(Z)$ a maximal ideal, so the completed tensor product is with respect to the $(\mathfrak{p})$-adic topology.

Proof of Corollary 16. The only thing that has to be explained is how to remove the affineness assumption. The point is that $\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot x$ is still affine, so the completion $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot x} = \mathsf{Spf}\, \hat{\mathcal{O}}(X)_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot x}$ is still an affine formal scheme. Now, $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(X)_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot x}$ has a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action, so it is topologically (in the $\mathfrak{p}$-adic topology, with $\mathfrak{p}$ the ideal of $\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot x$ as before) spanned by homogeneous elements. The same proof as before applies to show that the inclusion of algebras $\mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] \hat{\otimes} \hat{\mathcal{O}}(X)^{\mathbf{C}^\times}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot x} \to \hat{\mathcal{O}}(X)_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot x}$ is an isomorphism. ☐

Now, the Darboux theorem for formal neighborhoods goes through in this context. Let Δ denote the formal polydisc, i.e., the formal neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbf{A}^1$. For all $m \geq 1$, let Δ^m denote the formal m-polydisc, i.e., $\mathsf{Spf}\, \mathbf{C}[\![z_1, \ldots, z_m]\!]$ (this is a slight abuse of notation, since this is the completed product of Δ with itself m times). Let us call the standard symplectic structure of degree k on $\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{2n-1}$, coordinatized as $\mathsf{Spf}\, \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}][\![u, z_1, \ldots, z_{2n-2}]\!]$, with u, z_i in degree zero, the following:

$$t^{k-1}dt \wedge du + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(t^k z_{2i-1}) \wedge dz_{2i}. \tag{17}$$

Let us also record the Poisson bivector in the above situation. We make the substitution $z'_{2i-1} := t^k z_{2i-1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 2n-1$.

$$\pi_{2n,-k} := t^{-k+1}\partial_u \wedge \partial_t + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_{z'_{2i-1}} \wedge \partial_{z_{2i}}. \tag{18}$$

.

Theorem 19. Any symplectic structure on $\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{2n-1}$ of degree k can be taken to the standard one by a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant change of coordinates.

Proof. As before, write $\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{2n-1} = \mathsf{Spf}\, \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}][\![u, z_1, \ldots, z_{2n-2}]\!]$, and choose coordinates $u, z_1, \ldots, z_{2n-2}$ so that the restriction of the symplectic structure ω to $t = 1, u = z_1, \ldots, z_{2n-2} = 0$ is the standard one,

$$\omega|_{(1,0,\ldots,0)} = dt \wedge du + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} dz_{2i-1} \wedge dz_{2i}.$$

Then, since it is homogeneous, the symplectic structure must have the form

$$\omega = t^{k-1}dt \wedge du + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(t^k z_{2i-1}) \wedge dz_{2i} + \omega',$$

where ω' is a closed two-form vanishing at the ideal $(t-1, u, z_1, \ldots, z_{2n-2})$. Since ω' is homogeneous, it must vanish at the entire locus $t \neq 0, u = z_1 = \cdots = z_{2n-2} = 0$, and hence at the ideal $(u, z_1, \ldots, z_{2n-2})$.

Now, using the argument of the ordinary formal Darboux theorem, we can make a change of coordinates which is the identity modulo $(z_1, \ldots, z_{2n-2})$ taking ω to one of the form

$$\omega = f t^{k-1}dt \wedge du + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(t^k z_{2i-1}) \wedge dz_{2i},$$

where $f \in 1 + (u, z_1, \ldots, z_{2n-2})$. (Alternatively, we can use the argument of Remark 47 below, which we can apply not just to u but to t as well; applying it to $z_1, \ldots, z_{2n-2}$ themselves is then literally the formal Darboux theorem.) Since $d\omega = 0$, we have $f \in \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}][\![u]\!]$, and since f has degree zero, we deduce $f \in 1 + u\mathbf{C}[\![u]\!]$. Therefore there is an antiderivative $F \in u + u^2\mathbf{C}[\![u]\!]$ such that $dF = f du$, and we obtain

$$\omega = t^{k-1}dt \wedge dF + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(t^k z_{2i-1}) \wedge dz_{2i},$$

as desired. □

Putting the two results together, we obtain the following.

Corollary 20. Let X be a smooth symplectic variety with a faithful $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action and a homogeneous symplectic structure of degree k. Then for any $x \in X$ which has trivial stabilizer, a formal neighborhood of $\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot x$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{\dim X - 1}$ with the standard symplectic structure (17).

Remark 21. We are interested here in the case where x is not a fixed point since we are going to study symplectic leaves of $\mathbf{C}^\times$-Poisson varieties (with homogeneous Poisson bracket of some degree) which are stable under the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action, but on which this action is nontrivial (the main example being Poisson cones with finitely many symplectic leaves, where all leaves other than the vertex have this form).

In the case of fixed points, the situation is different. In the case that the limit $t \cdot x$ exists as $t \to 0$ (or equivalently as $t \to \infty$), which is called an *elliptic fixed point* (as studied recently in, e.g., [BDMN]), the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition theorem yields an analogue of Corollary 16, i.e., that in a formal neighborhood of x, we have an equivariant isomorphism $\hat{X}_x \cong \Delta^{2n} = \mathsf{Spf}[\![x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}]\!]$ where each x_i is homogeneous of some nonnegative degree. Then, the formal Darboux theorem applies with the same proof, where we only require equivariant changes of coordinates, so that there is an equivariant change of coordinates under which the symplectic structure is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} dx_{2i-1} \wedge dx_{2i},$$

of degree $|x_{2i-1}| + |x_{2i}|$ (which therefore cannot depend on i).

2.1 Quantization

The above theorem has the following consequence. Suppose that $X = \mathsf{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X))$ is an affine Poisson variety, which includes the case that X is a smooth affine symplectic variety. We will also allow X to be a formal affine Poisson variety (where instead of algebras, we work with topological algebras); for ease of exposition, we will suppress this except in actual examples, where the context will always be clear.

Recall that a quantization $A_\hbar$ of X (or equivalently of $\mathcal{O}(X)$) is a $\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar]\!]$-algebra equipped with an algebra epimorphism $\mathrm{pr} : A_\hbar \to \mathcal{O}(X)$ with kernel $\hbar A_\hbar$, such that $A_\hbar$ is isomorphic, as a $\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar]\!]$-module, to $\mathcal{O}(X)[\![\hbar]\!] = \{\sum_{m \geq 0} a_m \hbar^m \mid a_m \in \mathcal{O}(X)\}$, and satisfies the following additional axiom. For any $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$, let $\tilde{f} \in A_\hbar$ be an arbitrary lift. Then $A_\hbar$ is a quantization if it additionally satisfies:

$$\tilde{a} \star \tilde{b} - \tilde{b} \star \tilde{a} \equiv \hbar \widetilde{\{a, b\}} \pmod{\hbar}^2, \forall a, b \in \mathcal{O}(X); \tag{22}$$

it is easy to see that the axiom holds for one choice of lift if and only if it holds for all choices. We can make the same definition when $X = \mathsf{Spf}\, \mathcal{O}(X)$ is the formal spectrum of a complete topological Poisson algebra.

Next, suppose that X has a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action such that the Poisson structure has degree $-k$. Then we can ask that the quantization $A_\hbar$ be compatible with the action. This means that $A_\hbar$ admits an infinitesimal action of $\mathbf{C}^\times$, i.e., an Euler derivation $\mathrm{Eu} : A_\hbar \to A_\hbar$, which satisfies $\mathrm{Eu}(\hbar) = k\hbar$, and such that the induced action on $A_\hbar/\hbar A_\hbar \cong \mathcal{O}(X)$ agrees with the original Euler derivation ($\mathrm{Eu}(x) = |x| \cdot x$ when x is homogeneous). This implies that $A_\hbar$ is the $\hbar$-adic completion of a graded algebra having $|\hbar| = k$. This graded algebra can be recovered as the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-finite part, $A_\hbar^f \subseteq A_\hbar$, defined as the collection of elements $a \in A_\hbar$ such that $\{\mathrm{Eu}^k(a)\}$ spans a finite vector space. Slightly abusively, we will refer to such $A_\hbar$ themselves as graded algebras and call an isomorphism $A_\hbar \to B_\hbar$ graded if it is compatible with the infinitesimal $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action, i.e., the Euler derivations.

Let $\mathrm{Weyl}_{\hbar,k}(\mathbf{C}^{2n-2})$ be the graded $\mathbf{C}[\hbar]$-algebra which is generated by elements $z'_1, \ldots, z'_{2n-2}$, with relations $[z'_{2i-1}, z'_{2i}] = \hbar$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and all other $[z'_i, z'_j]$ zero, and equipped with the grading with $|\hbar| = k$, $|z'_{2i-1}| = k$ and $|z_{2i}| = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. (Note that, if we set $z_{2i-1} := t^{-k} z'_{2i-1}$ and $z_{2i} := z'_{2i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, we recover generators of degree zero, and this is consistent with the notation of the previous subsection.)

Theorem 23. The unique $\mathbf{C}^\times$-compatible quantizations of $\mathbf{C}^\times \times \mathbf{A}^{2n-1}$ and of $\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{2n-1}$, equipped with the standard symplectic structures (17), are given by the completions of the graded algebra (for $|t| = 1$ and $|u| = 0$):

$$\mathcal{D}_{\hbar,k}(\mathbf{C}^\times \times \mathbf{A}^{n-1}) := \mathbf{C}\langle t, u\rangle[\hbar][t^{-1}]/([t,u] - \hbar t^{1-k}) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}[\hbar]} \mathrm{Weyl}_{\hbar,k}(\mathbf{C}^{2n-2}) \tag{24}$$

with respect to the ideal $(\hbar)$ and the left ideal generated by $\hbar, u, z'_1, \ldots, z'_{2n-2}$, respectively.

Note that, for $k = 1$, $\mathcal{D}_{\hbar,k}(\mathbf{C}^\times \times \mathbf{A}^{n-1})$ is the Rees algebra of the ring of differential operators on $\mathbf{C}^\times \times \mathbf{A}^{n-1}$; for general k, the ring of differential operators is recovered by setting $\hbar = 1$. Also, the completion of (24) is indeed a completed tensor product of completions.

Putting the theorem together with Corollary 20 immediately yields the following. We say that two quantizations of $\mathcal{O}(X)$ are *equivalent* if they are isomorphic as $\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar]\!]$-algebras via a continuous isomorphism that is the identity modulo $\hbar$ (this is also known as gauge equivalence). In the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-compatible case, we can ask for a graded equivalence, i.e., an equivalence preserving the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action.

Corollary 25. Let X and x be as in Corollary 20. Then the unique $\mathbf{C}^\times$-compatible quantization of $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot x}$ up to graded equivalence is given in the theorem. In particular, every compatible quantization of X restricts to this one, up to graded equivalence.

Proof of Theorem 23. This follows from the same argument as Theorem 19, just like the case of uniqueness of the quantization of the formal disk Δ^{2n} itself. Namely, let Y be either $\mathbf{C}^\times \times \mathbf{A}^{2n-1}$ or $\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{2n-1}$. For any quantization $A_\hbar$ of Y, fix a $\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar]\!]$-module isomorphism $A_\hbar \cong \mathcal{O}(Y)[\![\hbar]\!]$, compatible with the projection to $\mathcal{O}(Y)$. We then can view the quantization as given by an associative, continuous multiplication $\star$ on $\mathcal{O}(Y)[\![\hbar]\!]$.

Inductively, assume that, for $v, w \in \{t, u, z'_1, \ldots, z'_{2n-2}\}$, then $v \star w - w \star v \equiv \hbar\{u, v\}$ (mod $\hbar^n$) for some $n \geq 2$. (It is clear, for the base case, that we can do this when $n = 2$.) Then, following the usual argument of the formal Darboux theorem, we can modify each of

$t, u, z'_1, \ldots, z'_{2n-2}$ by adding elements of $\hbar^n \mathcal{O}(Y)$ so that this holds modulo $\hbar^{n+1}$. Namely, for u, this is explained in Remark 47. ☐

Remark 26. When $k > 0$, one can instead ask about filtered quantizations. Namely, for B a graded Poisson algebra with bracket of degree $-k$, one can ask for a filtered algebra $A = \bigcup_m A_{\leq m}$ whose associated graded algebra $\operatorname{gr}(A) = \bigoplus_m \operatorname{gr}_m(A) := A_{\leq m}/A_{\leq m-1}$ is isomorphic to B as an algebra, such that $[A_{\leq m}, A_{\leq n}] \subseteq A_{\leq m+n-k}$ and $\operatorname{gr}_{m+n-k}[a,b] = \{\operatorname{gr}_m a, \operatorname{gr}_n b\}$ for $a \in A_{\leq m}$ and $b \in A_{\leq n}$. If one asks also that A be complete with respect to the descending part of the filtration, i.e., $A = \lim_{m \to -\infty} A/A_{\leq m}$ as a vector space (which is a trivial condition if B is nonnegatively graded), then one can generalize the results above to show that there is a unique filtered quantization as well.

Note that, for $k = 1$, this is a formal consequence, since then filtered quantizations are equivalent to $\mathbf{C}^\times$-compatible quantizations: to a filtered algebra we associate its completed Rees algebra; the reverse direction is given by taking $\mathbf{C}^\times$-finite vectors and then setting $\hbar = 1$. But for $k \geq 2$, not all filtered quantizations can be obtained from $\mathbf{C}^\times$-compatible quantizations: for example, for $k = 2$ and $B = \mathbf{C}[t, y]$ with $|y| = 2, |t| = 1$, and $\{t, y\} = t$, one can take the filtered quantization $\mathbf{C}\langle t, y\rangle/([t, y] - t - 1)$, which cannot be obtained from a compatible quantization (but it can, in view of the uniqueness result above, after inverting t and completing with respect to the filtration; then, in the above coordinates, $y = (t^2 + t)u$). (One can, however, make a more direct link by working over $\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar^{1/k}]\!]$: then, every filtered quantization can be obtained from a $\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar^{1/k}]\!]$-algebra with a compatible $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action.)

Similarly, in all later results in this paper on quantization, one can obtain analogous results for filtered algebras by passing to the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-finite vectors and setting $\hbar = 1$, in the case when k is positive. We will not mention this further.

3 Equivariant Darboux-Weinstein theorems

In this section, we give the main theorems (31, 38, and 42), their application to $\mathcal{D}$-modules on Poisson varieties (Corollary 44 and Remark 46), and their quantization (Theorem 49). We begin in the first subsection with the statements of the main theorems and corollaries, and prove only the corollaries. We then give an application to $\mathcal{D}$-modules, followed by the proof of Theorem 1 and the main theorems, and finally discuss quantization. The proofs of the main theorems can be omitted on a first reading.

3.1 Main results

Recall that, in the non-equivariant setting, the formal Darboux-Weinstein theorem ([Wei83]; see also [Kal06, Proposition 3.3]) says that, for $Y \subseteq X$ a symplectic leaf of a Poisson variety X and $y \in Y$ a point, then the formal neighborhood $\hat{Y}_y$ is Poisson isomorphic to a product, with S a formal Poisson scheme (with a single closed point and Poisson bivector vanishing there):

$$\hat{X}_y \cong \hat{Y}_y \hat{\times} S \cong \Delta^{\dim Y} \hat{\times} S. \tag{27}$$

In the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant setting, this no longer holds when y is not a fixed point, as in the following examples (although one can easily see it does hold for elliptic fixed points as in Remark 21).

Example 28. Consider $X = \mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^2 = \mathsf{Spf}\, \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}][\![u, z]\!]$ with Poisson bivector $\partial_u \wedge (t\partial_t + \partial_z)$ of degree zero (note that this automatically satisfies the Jacobi identity since it has rank two). Then the Poisson center consists of those functions in $\mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}][\![z]\!]$ annihilated by the Hamiltonian vector field $\xi_u = t\partial_t + \partial_z$, i.e., $\mathbf{C}[te^{-z}, t^{-1}e^z]$, which is the spectrum of $\mathbf{C}^\times$ with the dilating $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action. On the other hand, the Poisson center of the product of the symplectic $\mathbf{C}^\times$-variety $\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta$ and Δ is the functions on the latter factor, $\mathbf{C}[\![z]\!]$, which is not isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}[te^{-z}, t^{-1}e^z]$, and is equipped with the trivial $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action.

We remark that, to get trivial Poisson center rather than $\mathbf{C}[te^{-z}, t^{-1}e^z]$ above, we could have instead used the Poisson bivector $\partial_u \wedge (t\partial_t + \gamma z \partial_z)$ for $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$ irrational: then the Poisson center is trivial since the differential equation $\gamma z \partial_z(f) = mf$ has no solutions with $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $f \in \mathbf{C}[\![z]\!]$ (i.e., $z^{m/\gamma} \notin \mathbf{C}[\![z]\!]$).

Example 29. We can also give a singular example where the Poisson structure is nondegenerate on the smooth locus (in particular, this implies it is generically symplectic). Let $X = \mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta \hat{\times} Z$ where Z is the formal neighborhood of the origin of the hypersurface $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 0$ in $\mathbf{C}^3$ (i.e., the cone over a smooth genus one curve in $\mathbf{CP}^2$). Let ξ be the usual Euler vector field on Z, i.e., $x\partial_x + y\partial_y + z\partial_z$. Equip Z with the Jacobian Poisson structure,

$$\pi_Z = 3\big(x^2 \partial_y \wedge \partial_z + y^2 \partial_z \wedge \partial_x + z^2 \partial_x \wedge \partial_y\big).$$

Now, ξ is a Poisson vector field, i.e., $L_\xi(\pi_Z) = 0$, where L_ξ is the Lie derivative, or equivalently, $[\xi, \pi_z] = 0$, where $[-,-]$ is the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket. Therefore, the following bivector π is Poisson (i.e., $[\pi, \pi] = 0$):

$$\pi = \partial_u \wedge (t\partial_t + \xi) + \pi_Z. \tag{30}$$

On the other hand, we claim that this is not equivalent via change of coordinates to a product of formal Poisson schemes $(\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta) \hat{\times} Z$. Indeed, if it were, taking the degree zero part of the centralizer of t, we get that $Z = \mathsf{Spf}\, \mathbf{C}[\![x, y, z]\!]/(x^3 + y^3 + z^3)$ (as a subalgebra of all functions on $(\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta) \hat{\times} Z$), and then there would have to be a (degree zero) coordinate u' such that $\{u', t\} = t$ and u' commutes with x, y, and z (note that the degree zero condition is unnecessary, since taking any homogeneous component of such a u' of nonzero degree we would get a Poisson central element, and the Poisson center is zero since the Poisson bivector is generically nondegenerate). The first condition shows that $u' \in u + f$ for some $f \in \mathbf{C}[\![x, y, z]\!]$, and the second condition shows that $\{f, g\} = -\xi(g)$ for all $g \in \mathbf{C}[\![x, y, z]\!]$. This means that ξ is a Hamiltonian vector field on $\mathbf{C}[\![x, y, z]\!]$. But that is false, since all Hamiltonian vector fields have positive degree, whereas ξ has degree zero. This is a contradiction.

The preceding example demonstrates exactly what goes wrong, however, as we prove in the following theorem. Let X be a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-Poisson variety with a homogeneous Poisson bivector,

$Y \subseteq X$ be a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-invariant symplectic leaf, and $y \in Y$ be a point with minimal stabilizer under the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action. Let us assume that $\mathbf{C}^\times$ acts faithfully on X, i.e., the generic stabilizer is trivial, but we need not assume this on Y: let the stabilizer of y have order $\ell \geq 1$. Then $\hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ has a standard symplectic structure (17), where now t has degree ℓ, and the Poisson bivector on X has degree $-k\ell$.

Let $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ denote the formal neighborhood of $\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y$ in X (equivalently, we can replace X with any open affine subvariety in which the punctured line $\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y$ is closed.) Let $t \in \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}}$ be the coordinate along the line $\mathbf{C}^\times$, i.e., $t(c \cdot y) = c$ for all $c \in \mathbf{C}^\times$.

For every (formal) affine scheme Z, let Ω_Z be the complex of Kähler differentials, and let $\tilde{\Omega}_Z$ be the quotient of this complex by $\mathcal{O}_Z$-torsion. When Z is no longer affine, let the same denote the sheaf of Kähler differentials. Note that, if Z is normal affine with smooth locus $Z^\circ \subseteq Z$, then $\tilde{\Omega}_Z = \Gamma(Z^\circ, \Omega_{Z^\circ})$. Then, $H^1(\tilde{\Omega}_Z) = H^1\Gamma(Z^\circ, \Omega_{Z^\circ})$, which equals global closed algebraic one-forms on Z° mod global exact algebraic one-forms. When Z is a complex algebraic variety, this embeds into the topological de Rham cohomology $H^1(Z^\circ)$, because if an algebraic one-form α on a smooth variety is the differential of a C^∞ function f, then f must itself be algebraic. In particular, if $H^1(Z^\circ) = 0$, then $H^1(\tilde{\Omega}_Z) = 0$.

For Z Poisson, let $H(Z)$ denote the Lie algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields on Z, i.e., $H(Z) = \{\xi_f \mid f \in Z\}$, with $\xi_f(g) := \{f, g\}$. Let $P(Z)$ denote the Lie algebra of Poisson vector fields, i.e., vector fields ξ which are Lie derivations of the Poisson bracket (equivalently, $[\xi, \pi] = 0$], using the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket, with π the Poisson bivector). We always have $H(Z) \subseteq P(Z)$. When Z is normal, affine, and symplectic on the smooth locus, then $P(Z)/H(Z) \cong H^1(\tilde{\Omega}_Z)$, since for ω the generic symplectic form, then $i_\xi \omega$ is a regular one-form for every vector field ξ, and ξ is Hamiltonian or Poisson if and only if $i_\xi \omega$ is closed or exact, respectively.

Theorem 31. In a formal neighborhood of $\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y$, with all isomorphisms $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant,

(i) $\hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \cong \mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{\dim Y - 1}$ with a standard homogeneous symplectic structure (17) of degree $k\ell$ (rather than k).

(ii) Assume $\ell = 1$ (i.e., the stabilizer of y in $\mathbf{C}^\times$ is trivial). Then, forgetting the Poisson structure,
$$\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \cong \hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \hat{\times} S, \tag{32}$$
for some formal scheme S with the trivial $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action. Moreover, the first projection is Poisson, so that $\mathcal{O}(S)$ is the subalgebra of degree-zero elements commuting with the pullbacks of $t, z_1, \ldots, z_{\dim Y - 2}$ (in coordinates given by (17)). In particular, S is Poisson equipped with the Poisson bracket $\{f, g\}_S := t^{-k}\{f, g\}$ for $f, g \in \mathcal{O}(S)$.

(iii) Still assuming $\ell = 1$, we can choose coordinates as above so that the Poisson bivector on $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ has the form
$$\pi_{\dim Y, -k} + t^{-k} \partial_u \wedge \xi + t^{-k} \pi_S, \tag{33}$$
for π_S the Poisson bivector on S, and ξ a vector field on S satisfying $[\xi, \pi_S] = k\pi_S$.

(iv) Now let $\ell \geq 1$ be arbitrary. Let $\tilde{\hat{Y}}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \to \hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ be the ℓ-fold étale cover obtained by adjoining $t^{1/\ell}$. Then, there is a canonical formal Poisson scheme S with a $\mathbf{Z}/\ell$ action and a continuous $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant isomorphism

$$\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \cong (\tilde{\hat{Y}}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \hat{\times} S)/(\mathbf{Z}/\ell), \tag{34}$$

which is Poisson when the RHS is equipped with a structure as in (33).

Alternatively, in coordinates (17) for $\hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$, let $\mathcal{O}(T) \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{O}}(X)_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ be the centralizer of $t, z_1, \ldots, z_{2n-2}$; then we get a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-isomorphism,

$$\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \cong \hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \hat{\times}_{\mathbf{C}^\times} T, \tag{35}$$

with T a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-Poisson formal scheme with central subalgebra $\mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}]$, and we take the fibered product over $\mathbf{C}^\times$. The Poisson bivector on $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ is then given by

$$\pi_{\hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}} + \pi_T + t^{-k} \partial_u \wedge \xi, \tag{36}$$

with ξ a degree-zero Poisson vector field on T.

Remark 37. The final version of the statement is convenient, even when $\ell = 1$, for quantization: see Theorem 49.

For simplicity, we restrict now to the case $\ell = 1$, although the statements all admit straightforward generalizations, via part (4) above, to the case of arbitrary ℓ. To avoid confusion, when S is a formal Poisson scheme, we let the Hamiltonian vector fields of functions on S be denoted as ξ_f^S.

Theorem 38. *Let $m \geq 1$ and fix $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. Given two formal Poisson schemes S, S' with Poisson bivectors π_S and $\pi_{S'}$, equipped with vector fields ξ, ξ' satisfying $[\xi, \pi_S] = k\pi_S$ and $[\xi', \pi_{S'}] = k\pi_{S'}$, the formal Poisson schemes $\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{2m-1} \hat{\times} S$ and $\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{2m-1} \hat{\times} S'$ with bivectors (33) are $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariantly Poisson isomorphic (with $\mathbf{C}^\times$ acting only on the first factor) if and only if there is formal Poisson isomorphism $S \to S'$ taking ξ to $\xi' + \xi_f^S$ for some $f \in \mathcal{O}_{S'}$.*

Remark 39. As we will see in the proof, the isomorphisms in the theorem can be chosen to form a commutative square:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{2m-1} \hat{\times} S \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[d] & S \arrow[d] \\
\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{2m-1} \hat{\times} S' \arrow[r, two heads] & S'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Corollary 40. *If S has the property that all Poisson vector fields are Hamiltonian, then all Poisson bivectors of the form (33) (i.e., for all choices of ξ satisfying $[\xi, \pi_S] = k\pi_S$) are $\mathbf{C}^\times$-Poisson isomorphic.*

Proof. If ξ and ξ' satisfy $[\xi, \pi_S] = k\pi_S = [\xi', \pi_S]$, then $\xi - \xi'$ is Poisson. Under the assumption of the corollary, it is also Hamiltonian. Then, by Theorem 38, taking $S = S'$ and the identity map $S \to S' = S$, we conclude that the two Poisson bivectors (33) given by ξ and ξ' are related by a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-Poisson automorphism. (By the remark, it can be chosen to be compatible with the projection to S.) $\square$

Corollary 41. For a fixed formal Poisson scheme S and fixed $m \geq 1$ and $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ equipped with a vector field η satisfying $[\eta, \pi_S] = k\pi_S$, the set of $\mathbf{C}^\times$-Poisson isomorphism classes of bivectors of the form (33) is in bijection with $(\eta + P(S)/H(S))/\operatorname{Aut}(S, \pi_S)$.

Note that, if S is normal and symplectic on the smooth locus, we can alternatively write $(\eta + P(S)/H(S))/\operatorname{Aut}(S, \pi_S)$ as $(i_\xi \omega + H^1(\tilde{\Omega}_S))/\operatorname{Aut}(S, \pi_S)$.

Proof of Corollary 41. Let ξ be any other vector field on S satisfying $[\xi, \pi_S] = k\pi_S$. The theorem implies that the resulting bivectors given by (33) are isomorphic if and only if $\xi \in \operatorname{Aut}(S, \pi_S) \cdot (\eta + H(S))$. On the other hand, $\xi - \eta \in P(S)$, so $\xi \in (\eta + P(S)) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(S, \pi_S) \cdot (\eta + P(S))$, proving the result (note that this inclusion $\subseteq$ is actually an equality). For the second paragraph, note as in the end of the proof of the previous corollary that any degree zero Poisson vector field on T annihilating t is uniquely determined by its restriction to S, by irreducibility of T. $\square$

Next we explain why, when X admits a symplectic resolution, all bivectors in (33) are equivalent. This is similar to [PS14, Proposition 5.5]:

Theorem 42. Let X be a normal Poisson variety, Y a symplectic leaf, and $y \in Y$. Let S be a formal Poisson scheme appearing either in an ordinary Darboux-Weinstein decomposition $\hat{X}_y \cong \hat{Y}_y \hat{\times} S$, or in an equivariant one $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \cong \hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \hat{\times} S$ of Theorem 31 for y having trivial stabilizer in $\mathbf{C}^\times$. If X admits a symplectic resolution, then all Poisson vector fields on S are Hamiltonian. More generally, each of the following conditions implies the next:

(i) X admits a symplectic resolution, or more generally has symplectic singularities;

(ii) X is generically symplectic and there is an analytic neighborhood U of y such that, for U° the smooth locus of U, we have $H^1(U^\circ) = 0$;

(iii) X is generically symplectic and $H^1(\tilde{\Omega}_S) = 0$; and

(iv) All Poisson vector fields on S are Hamiltonian.

In the presence of a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action on S, we can put the above results together to conclude:

Corollary 43. Let X, Y, y, d be as in Theorem 31 and suppose that the stabilizer of y is trivial. For a decomposition therein, suppose that S admits a $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action such that the Poisson structure is homogeneous of degree $-k$, and that all Poisson vector fields on S are Hamiltonian (e.g., if X admits a symplectic resolution or has symplectic singularities). Then $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ is $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariantly Poisson isomorphic to $(\hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \hat{\times} S, \pi_{\hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}} + \pi_S)$, with the new action of $\mathbf{C}^\times$ on S. Moreover, in this case, S is isomorphic to every slice appearing in an ordinary Darboux-Weinstein decomposition $\hat{X}_y \cong \hat{Y}_y \hat{\times} S$.

Proof. Let η be the Euler vector field of the action of $\mathbf{C}^\times$ on S. Then $[\eta, \pi_S] = -k\pi_S$. Therefore, by Theorem 38, we can assume $\xi = -\eta$ in (33). Let $B \subseteq \mathcal{O}_S[t, t^{-1}]$ be the subalgebra of elements of weight zero with respect to the total grading by $|t| = 1$ and the weight grading on $\mathcal{O}_S$ produced by the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action. That is, B consists of the elements of $f \in \mathcal{O}_S[t, t^{-1}]$ annihilated by $-\eta + t\partial_t = \xi + t\partial_t$. In other words, B is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_S[t, t^{-1}]$ of elements commuting with u. We therefore obtain $X = (\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{\dim Y - 1}) \hat{\times} \mathsf{Spf}\, B$, where now this is a product of formal schemes, with the Poisson structure on the first factor $\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{\dim Y - 1}$ the standard one of (17). The first statement then follows from Theorem 19. For the second statement, we can complete at y and obtain an ordinary Darboux-Weinstein decomposition. But, the S appearing in this decomposition is unique up to formal Poisson automorphisms, since S is the centralizer of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y}$, and two choices of subalgebra $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y} \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,y}$, i.e., of projections $\hat{X}_y \twoheadrightarrow \hat{Y}_y$, differ by Hamiltonian isomorphisms (cf. the proof of Theorem 38 below). $\square$

3.2 Consequence for the $\mathcal{D}$-module on X

Recall from [ES10] the $\mathcal{D}$-module, $M(X)$, on X which represents solutions of Hamiltonian flow. For simplicity, assume X is affine. Then this is defined by $M(X) = H(X)\mathcal{D}_X \setminus \mathcal{D}_X$, for $H(X)$ the Lie algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields on X, $\mathcal{D}_X$ the canonical right $\mathcal{D}$-module on X such that $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{D}_X, -)$ is the global sections functor (i.e., $\mathcal{D}_X = \iota^!(I_X\mathcal{D}_V \setminus \mathcal{D}_V)$ where $\iota : X \to V$ is any embedding into a smooth affine variety V with X having ideal I_X), and with $H(X)$ acting on $\mathcal{D}_X$ on the left via the inclusion $H(X) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_X) =$ differential operators on X. See [ES10] for more details.

We may deduce from the preceding the following consequence on the $\mathcal{D}$-module $M(X)$. Let $i : Y \to X$ be the inclusion.

Corollary 44. Suppose that X has symplectic singularities and that the assumptions of Corollary 43 are satisfied. Then, as weakly equivariant $\mathcal{D}$-modules on $\hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$,

$$H^0(i^* M(X))|_{\hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}} \cong \Omega_{\hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}} \otimes \mathrm{HP}_0(S), \tag{45}$$

equipping $\mathrm{HP}_0(S)$ with the weight grading by the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action on S.

Proof. First, it is easy to see that $H^0(i^* M(X))|_{\hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}}$ is a local system on $\hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ (as noticed in [ES10, §4.3], this is already true restricting to Y itself; we use here that X has finitely many symplectic leaves, which is a consequence of having symplectic singularities). As noticed there, the fibers of this local system are identified with $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_S)$ (which is finite-dimensional). Now, in terms of the decomposition of Corollary 43, everything is weakly $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant, equipping $\mathrm{HP}_0(\mathcal{O}_S)$ with its weight grading. $\square$

Remark 46. The assumptions of Corollary 43 can be dropped, as follows. All weakly $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant local systems on a formal neighborhood of a punctured line $\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y$ in a smooth variety are sums of weight-shifted trivial local systems. Therefore, if we assume nothing but the fact that Y is a symplectic leaf closed under the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action and that X has symplectic singularities, we know that the LHS of (45) must be a weakly equivariant local system on $\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y$ (at this point, we only need symplectic singularities to guarantee that $M(X)$ is holonomic, which more generally follows if X has finitely many symplectic leaves). We can describe this local system using an ordinary Darboux-Weinstein slice S, i.e., such that $\hat{X}_y \cong \hat{Y}_y \hat{\times} S$. Then, similarly to [PS14, §5], the grading on $\mathrm{HP}_0(S)$ is given by an arbitrary vector field η such that $[\eta, \pi_S] = -k\pi_S$ (using now the fact that all Poisson vector fields are Hamiltonian, from Theorem 42). Such a vector field can be obtained from the Euler vector field Eu_X of X by the projection $\pi_S : \hat{X}_y \to S$, namely $\eta = (\pi_S)_*(\mathrm{Eu}_X|_{\{0\}\hat{\times}S})$.

3.3 Proof of Theorem 1

Here we deduce Theorem 1 from the preceding theorems. Part (i) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 31.(i). Part (ii) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 19 and Theorem 31.(ii). Part (iii) follows from Corollary 40 and Theorem 42. Part (iv) is identical to Corollary 43.

3.4 Proof of Theorem 31

The first part is an immediate consequence of Corollary 16, together with the fact that the formal neighborhood of any point in a smooth irreducible variety of dimension n is isomorphic to Δ^n.

To prove (2), we adapt the argument of the proof of [Kal06, Proposition 3.3]. Write $\hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} = (\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta) \hat{\times} \Delta^{\dim Y - 2}$ as guaranteed by the first part. Let $J \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ be the ideal of $Z := (\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta) \hat{\times} \{0\} \subseteq \hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$. Let $\bar{t}$ and $\bar{u}$ be standard coordinate functions on Z realizing $\mathcal{O}_Z = \mathbf{C}[\bar{t}, \bar{t}^{-1}, \bar{u}]$ with the symplectic structure (17). Let t and u be homogeneous lifts to $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$. Note that t is invertible, since it is invertible mod J, and J is pronilpotent. We may therefore write t^{-1} for its inverse.

Consider the subalgebra $A \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ of degree-zero elements commuting with t. Let $X' := \mathsf{Spf}\, A$. We will show that, as $\mathbf{C}^\times$-formal schemes, $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \cong Z \hat{\times} X'$ for some formal scheme X' (equipped with the trivial $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action). This formal scheme will moreover satisfy the properties

(i) The projection $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \twoheadrightarrow X'$ is Poisson, i.e., that $\mathcal{O}_{X'} \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ is a Poisson subalgebra;

(ii) The image of $\hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ under this projection is the formal symplectic disc $\Delta^{\dim Y - 2}$.

Thus we can apply the formal Darboux-Weinstein theorem to X' to conclude that $X' \cong \Delta^{\dim Y - 2} \hat{\times} S$ as formal Poisson schemes. (We note that the method we use below is an adaptation of the proof of [Kal06, Proposition 3.3] of the Darboux-Weinstein theorem itself, and can easily be extended so as to avoid using the statement of that result.) Moreover, here $\mathcal{O}_S$ is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ of elements commuting with $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta^{\dim Y - 2}}$, so together with the construction of X', we deduce all of the statements of part (2).

View $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ as a $\mathbf{C}[\![u]\!] = \mathcal{O}_\Delta$-module, filtered by the $\mathfrak{p}$-adic filtration, with $\mathfrak{p}$ the ideal of functions vanishing on the line $\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y$. Denote this filtration by $F^\cdot \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$, with $F^i \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ the subspace of functions vanishing to order $\geq i$ at $\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y$. Note that $u \cdot F^i \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \subseteq F^{i+1}\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$. Moreover, $F^i \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ has finite codimension. Equip $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ with the flat connection over $\Delta = \mathsf{Spf}\, \mathbf{C}[\![u]\!]$ given by $\nabla(f) = t^{k-1}\{t, f\}$. By [Kal06, Lemma 3.2], we have $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \cong \mathbf{C}[\![u]\!] \hat{\otimes} (\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y})^\nabla$. Note that the second factor is the subalgebra of elements commuting with t, and hence contains t. Since it is also topologically spanned by homogeneous elements, we obtain

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \cong \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}][\![u]\!] \hat{\otimes} ((\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y})^\nabla)^{\mathbf{C}^\times}.$$

Let $X' := \mathsf{Spf}((\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y})^\nabla)^{\mathbf{C}^\times}$, so that the above states that $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \cong Z \hat{\times} X'$, as desired.

Note that the property (i) above is automatically satisfied. For property (ii), note that $(\{0\} \times X') \cap \hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ equals the degree zero functions on $\hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ commuting with t. By part (1) above, this intersection is isomorphic to $\Delta^{\dim Y - 2}$. This implies property (ii), which establishes part (2).

For part (3), we first apply the formal Darboux-Weinstein theorem to X'. Since $\{t, \mathcal{O}_{X'}\} = 0$, this shows that one can write the Poisson bivector as in (33) where ξ is some degree zero vector field vanishing at $((1, 0), 0) \in Z \hat{\times} X'$, and hence vanishing at $\tilde{J} = (J, u)$, the ideal of $(\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \{0\}) \hat{\times} \{0\}$.

We claim that, up to changing the coordinate u via a transformation which is the identity modulo $\tilde{J}$, we can assume that $\xi(t) = 0$, i.e., $\{t, u\} = t^{1-k}$. Write $\xi(t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} u^i f_i$ where $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_{X'}$. This is possible since $\xi(t) \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathbf{C}^\times} = \mathcal{O}_{X'}[\![u]\!]$. Make the coordinate change $u \mapsto u' = u - \sum_{i \geq 0}(i+1)^{-1} u^{i+1} f_i$ (i.e., we take $u' = u - \int \xi(t) du$). Since $\{t, f_i\} = 0$ for all i, we conclude that $\{t, u'\} = t^{1-k}(1 + \xi(t) - \xi(t)) = t^{1-k}$. This proves the claim.

So let us assume $\xi(t) = 0$. Then for $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X'}$, since f commutes with t, we have

$$\{t, \{u, f\}\} = \{\{t, u\}, f\} = \{t^{1-k}, f\} = 0.$$

By definition of X', this means that $\{u, \mathcal{O}_{X'}\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ (i.e., $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ is a Lie ideal under the Poisson bracket). Hence, $\xi(\mathcal{O}_{X'}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X'}$.

Applying the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket, since $[\xi, \pi_X] = 0$, we obtain $[\xi, \pi_{X'}] = k\pi_S$, where $\pi_{X'}$ is the Poisson bivector on X' (i.e., $\pi_{X'} = \pi_{\Delta^{\dim Y - 2}} + \pi_S$, given by the product $\Delta^{\dim Y - 2} \hat{\times} S$). If ξ' is any other vector field satisfying $[\xi, \pi_{X'}] = k\pi_S$, then $(\xi - \xi')|_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}}$ is a Poisson vector field on X'. Since all Poisson vector fields on S are Hamiltonian, the same is true for $X' = \Delta^{\dim Y - 2} \hat{\times} S$, so $\xi - \xi'$ is Hamiltonian on X': $\xi - \xi'|_{\mathcal{O}'_X}$ is a Hamiltonian vector field $\xi_f = \{f, -\}$ for some $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X'}$. We can now make the substitution $u \mapsto u - f$, and we obtain the Poisson bivector (33), except with ξ replaced by ξ'. In particular, we can take ξ' to be parallel to S, e.g., by projecting ξ' from X' to S and then including back into X' (i.e., on $\mathcal{O}_{X'} = \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^{\dim Y - 2}} \hat{\times} \mathcal{O}_S$, we act by zero on the first factor and by the composition of ξ with the projection to $\mathcal{O}_S$ on the second factor). This completes the proof of (3).

For part (4), this is proved in exactly the same way as before, except that now t has degree $\ell \geq 1$ where ℓ is the order of the stabilizer of y in $\mathbf{C}^\times$. When we adjoin $t^{1/\ell}$, then the latter coordinate again has degree 1, so we can apply the above reasoning and get a product decomposition for the étale ℓ-fold cover. For the final paragraph, note that the centralizer of $t, z_1, \ldots, z_{\dim Y - 2}$ is a Poisson algebra $\mathcal{O}_T$ with t a central invertible homogeneous coordinate of degree ℓ, but T need not decompose as a product $S \hat{\times} \mathbf{C}^\times$. The above proof then shows that we have a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-isomorphism $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \cong \Delta^{\dim Y - 1} \hat{\times} T$, with $\mathcal{O}(\Delta^{\dim Y-1}) = \mathbf{C}[\![u, z_1, \ldots, z_{\dim Y - 2}]\!]$ in the above coordinates. We may rewrite the RHS as $(\Delta^{\dim Y-1} \hat{\times} \mathbf{C}^\times) \hat{\times}_{\mathbf{C}^\times} T$, reintroducing the t coordinate into the first factor, yielding (35). Then, by construction, we get a Poisson bivector of the form (36). Note that now $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \to T$ is an honest Poisson projection, since $\mathcal{O}(T)$ is closed under the Poisson bracket without having to rescale by a power of t. For this reason, ξ is now Poisson (in other words, ξ is Hamiltonian on $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$, so it restricts to a Poisson derivation on the Poisson subalgebra $\mathcal{O}(T) \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{O}}(X)_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$).

Remark 47. There is a direct proof, along the lines of the formal Darboux-Weinstein theorem, to show that we can furthermore take ξ to be parallel to S. That is, by a change of coordinates $u \mapsto u'$ with $u - u' \in (z_1, \ldots, z_{\dim Y - 2})$, we claim that we can take $\{u, z_i'\} = 0$ for $z_{2i}' := z_{2i}$ and $z_{2i-1}' := t^k z_{2i}$.

To prove the claim, we show inductively that, for all $m \geq 1$, we can change coordinates by the identity modulo J^m, such that the above holds modulo J^m. Inductively, suppose that $\{u, z_i'\} \in J^{m+1}$ for $1 \leq i < 2q - 1$ and $\{u, z_i'\} \in J^m$ for $2q - 1 \leq i \leq \dim Y - 2$, where $1 \leq q \leq \dim Y/2 - 1$. We need to show that we can further change coordinates, by a transformation that is the identity mod J^m, so that also $\{u, z_{2q-1}'\}$ and $\{u, z_{2q}'\}$ are in J^{m+1}.

Suppose in addition that $\{u, z_{2q}'\} \in J^p$, for some $p \geq m$ (if $p = m$ then this is no additional hypothesis). Write $\{u, z_{2q-1}'\} = h \in J^m$. For all $i < 2k - 1$,

$$0 = \{\{z_i', z_{2q-1}'\}, u\} = \{z_i', \{z_{2q-1}', u\}\} - \{z_{2q-1}', \{z_i', u\}\} = \{z_i', h\} \pmod{J^m}. \tag{48}$$

Therefore, $\{z_i', h\} \in J^m$ for all $i < 2q - 1$. Now, let $H \in J^{m+1}$ be the z_{2q}'-antiderivative of h (i.e., $\partial_{z_{2q}'} H = h$), which is a multiple of z_{2q}'. Then $\partial_{z_{2q}'} \{H, z_i\} \in J^m$ for all $i < 2q - 1$, and $\{H, z_i'\}$ is a multiple of z_{2q}', which implies that $\{H, z_i'\} \in J^{m+1}$. Thus, setting $u' = u + H$, we have $\{u', z_i'\} \in J^{m+1}$ for $i < 2q - 1$. The same argument shows that $\{u', z_i'\} \in J^m$ for $i > 2q$. By construction, also $\{u', z_{2q-1}'\} \in J^{m+1}$. Next, $\{H, z_{2q}'\}$ is a multiple of z_{2q}', so it is the z_{2q}'-antiderivative of

$$\{\{u, z_{2q-1}'\}, z_{2k}'\} = \{\{u, z_{2k}'\}, z_{2k-1}'\} - \{u, \{z_{2k-1}', z_{2k}'\}\} = \{\{u, z_{2k}'\}, z_{2k-1}'\} = \{\{u, z_{2k}'\}, z_{2k-1}'\}.$$

Since the latter is, by assumption, in $\{J^p, z_{2k-1}'\} \subseteq J^{p-1}$, we see that $\{H, z_{2k}'\} \in J^p$. Thus, $\{u', z_{2k}'\} \in J^p$.

If $p \geq m + 1$, we are finished. If not, apply the same argument, reversing the roles of z_{2k}' and z_{2k-1}', and setting now $p = m + 1$. We then obtain u'' such that $\{u'', z_i'\} \in J^{m+1}$ for $i \leq 2k$ and $\{u'', z_i'\} \in J^m$ for $i > 2k$, as desired.

3.5 Proof of Theorem 38

Fix m and k, and suppose we are given (S, π_S, ξ) and $(S', \pi_{S'}, \xi')$ satisfying $[\xi, \pi_S] = k\pi_S$ and $[\xi', \pi_{S'}] = k\pi_{S'}$. Suppose that we have a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-Poisson isomorphism

$$\Phi : \mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{2m-1} \hat{\times} S \to \mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{2m-1} \hat{\times} S',$$

equipping both with the Poisson bivectors (33) and the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-actions by acting on the first factor. Let t, u, z_i and t', u', z_i' be the corresponding coordinates on each satisfying $\{z_{2i-1}, z_{2i}\} = 1$ (so, the coordinates which were denoted z_i' before, but we have to change notation here due to the primes in the target of Φ).

First, we claim that we can precompose with an automorphism of the source such that $\Phi^*(t') = t$. Write $\Phi^*(t') = tf$ for some degree-zero function f which is nonvanishing at the ideal $\tilde{J}$ of the punctured line $L := \mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \{0\} \hat{\times} \{0\}$. Therefore f is invertible. Up to composing with an isomorphism $t \mapsto \lambda t, u \mapsto \lambda^{-1} u$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ (which is the identity on z_i and on S) we can assume that $f \in 1 + \tilde{J}$. Set $f_1 := f$. We prove by induction that there is a sequence $(f_1, f_2, \ldots)$ of elements $f_q \in 1 + (\tilde{J})^q$ and a sequence of $\mathbf{C}^\times$-Poisson automorphisms $(\Psi_1, \Psi_2, \ldots)$ such that Ψ_q^* is the identity modulo $(\tilde{J})^q$ and takes tf_q to tf_{q+1}. Then the composition $\cdots \Psi_2^* \Psi_1^* \Phi^*$ converges to a graded continuous Poisson algebra isomorphism taking t' to t, proving the claim.

Since continuous Poisson algebra automorphisms which are the identity modulo $(\tilde{J})^q$ are all exponentials of Poisson vector fields which are zero modulo $(\tilde{J})^q$, the statement is equivalent to finding Poisson vector fields ξ_q which are zero modulo $(\tilde{J})^q$ such that

$$\xi(tf_q) + tf_q \equiv t \pmod{(\tilde{J})^{q+1}}.$$

In fact, we have Hamiltonian vector fields with this property, by the identity

$$\{t^k \int (f_q - 1) du, tf_q\} \equiv t(1 - f_q) f_q \equiv t - tf_q \pmod{(\tilde{J})^{q+1}},$$

where $\int (f_q - 1) du$ is the unique antiderivative of $(f_q - 1)$ with respect to u which is a multiple of u (note that $\int (f_q - 1) du \in (\tilde{J})^{q+1}$.)

Next, we may suppose that the Poisson bivectors of S and S' vanish at the origin; otherwise we could decompose S and S' as products of Δ^{2r} by some formal Poisson schemes with Poisson bivectors vanishing at the origin, where $2r$ is the rank of the Poisson bivectors of S and S' at the origin (which must be equal since the ranks of the Poisson bivectors on the products $\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{2m-1} \hat{\times} S$ and $\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{2m-1} \hat{\times} S$ are $2r + 2m$). In this case Φ must preserve the tangent spaces to $\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{2m-1}$ since these tangent spaces are the spans of the Hamiltonian vector fields. Up to change of coordinates, we can assume that Φ acts as the identity on this tangent space (by the proof of Theorem 19).

A standard argument used to show uniqueness of the slices in the usual formal Darboux-Weinstein decomposition shows that we can apply Hamiltonian isomorphisms so that the coordinates $z_1', \ldots, z_{2m-2}'$ map to $z_1, \ldots, z_{2m-2}$. Namely, if $\Phi^*(z_{2i-1}') = z_{2i-1} + f$ with f in the q-th power of the augmentation ideal, then we can apply the Hamiltonian isomorphism $\exp(\xi_{fz_{2i}})$, and then if $\Phi^*(z_{2i}) = z_{2i} + g$ for g in the q-th power of the augmentation ideal (and $\{g, z_{2i-1}\}$ is also in the q-th power of the augmentation ideal), we can apply $\exp(\xi_{-gz_{2i-1}})$. Inductively the composition of these automorphisms with Φ^* will send z_i' to z_i. Moreover since $\Phi(t') = t$, we had $\{\Phi(z_i'), t\} = 0$, which shows that the above procedure will preserve t.

We may therefore assume that $\Phi^*(t') = t$ and $\Phi^*(z_i') = z_i$ for all i. Thus $\Phi^*(u') = u + f$ for some f in the augmentation ideal of $\mathcal{O}_S$. It follows that $\mathcal{O}_{S'}$, which is the weight-zero part of the centralizer of $t', z'_1, \ldots, z'_{2m-2}$, must map to $\mathcal{O}_S$. Thus Φ produces a Poisson isomorphism $S \to S'$, as desired.

Finally if we compose with a continuous graded Poisson algebra automorphism fixing $t, z_1, \ldots, z_{2m}$ and sending u to $u + f$ (for f in the augmentation ideal), we see that f must actually be in the augmentation ideal of $\mathcal{O}_S$. That is, this changes ξ appearing in (33) to $\xi + t^{-k}\xi_f$, i.e., $\xi + \xi'_f$ where ξ'_f is the Hamiltonian vector field on (S, π_S) associated to f. Conversely given any f, we can apply the automorphism which is the identity on t, z_i, and $\mathcal{O}_S$, sending u to $u + f$, which is Poisson from the bivector in (33) to the one replacing ξ with $\xi + \xi'_f$.

3.6 Proof of Theorem 42

For most of the proof, we follow (and generalize) the proof of Proposition [PS14, Proposition 5.5].

We first show that the hypothesis of (i) implies (ii). In the more general situation where X has symplectic singularities, this is actually a consequence of [Xu14], as pointed out in [Nam13b]: there it is shown that, for a small enough neighborhood U of y, the algebraic fundamental group $\hat{\pi}_1(U^\circ)$ of the smooth locus U° of U is finite. This in particular implies that the fundamental group $\pi_1(U^\circ)$ cannot have $\mathbf{Z}$ as a quotient, so that $H_1(U^\circ)$ is torsion. Thus, $H_1(U^\circ, \mathbf{C}) = 0$, and hence $H^1(U^\circ, \mathbf{C}) = 0$, as desired.

However, we give a more direct proof (using only [Kal06, Theorem 2.12]) in the case that X has a (projective) symplectic resolution. Note that, by [Kal06, Theorem 2.12], if $\rho : \tilde{X} \to X$ is a symplectic resolution, then $H^1(\rho^{-1}(y), \mathbf{C}) = 0$. Take some tubular analytic neighborhood of $\rho^{-1}(y)$ which contracts to $\rho^{-1}(y)$. Since $\rho^{-1}(y)$ is compact, there is some open ball U around y such that $\rho^{-1}(U)$ lands in the tubular neighborhood and therefore contracts to $\rho^{-1}(y)$. Thus $H^1(\rho^{-1}(U), \mathbf{C}) = 0$.

Let U° be the smooth locus of U, and write $U \setminus U^\circ = \bigcup_i U_i$ for some closed subsets $U_i \subseteq U$. Since ρ is an isomorphism over U°, it suffices to show that $H^1(\rho^{-1}(U^\circ), \mathbf{C})$. By the semismallness property [Kal06, Lemma 2.11] of ρ, the complex codimension of $\rho^{-1}(U_i)$ is at least half the codimension of U_i. Thus, the real codimension of $\rho^{-1}(U_i)$ is at least equal to the complex codimension of U_i. Since the fundamental group of a smooth manifold is unchanged by removing a locus of real codimension greater than two (as all homotopies can be pushed away from the removed locus), we conclude that the fundamental group of $\rho^{-1}(U^\circ)$ equals that of $\rho^{-1}(U) \setminus \sqcup_{\dim_{\mathbf{C}} U_i = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} U - 2} \rho^{-1}(U_i)$. Moreover, since we can homotope any path in U away from a locus of real codimension two, the map $\pi_1(\rho^{-1}(U^\circ)) \to \pi_1(\rho^{-1}(U))$ is surjective. For each U_i of complex codimension two, the singularity at each $y \in U_i$ is of Kleinian type. The fundamental group of the smooth locus of a Kleinian singularity is finite (it is the corresponding group $\Gamma < \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$). Therefore, the kernel of $\pi_1(\rho^{-1}(U^\circ)) \to \pi_1(\rho^{-1}(U))$ is generated by torsion elements. Thus, $H_1(\rho^{-1}(U), \mathbf{Z})$ is a quotient of $H_1(\rho^{-1}(U^\circ), \mathbf{Z})$ by torsion, and $H_1(\rho^{-1}(U^\circ), \mathbf{C}) \to H_1(\rho^{-1}(U), \mathbf{C})$ is an isomorphism. Since $H_1(\rho^{-1}(U), \mathbf{C}) = 0$, also $H_1(\rho^{-1}(U^\circ), \mathbf{C}) = 0$, and hence $H^1(\rho^{-1}(U^\circ), \mathbf{C}) = 0$. Thus $H^1(U^\circ, \mathbf{C}) = 0$, as desired.

Next, we explain we part (ii) implies (iii). For this we can assume S comes from an ordinary Darboux-Weinstein decomposition; otherwise completing at y, we still get a product of formal schemes $\hat{X}_y \cong \hat{Y}_y \hat{\times} S$ (it is just not necessarily Poisson), and this is all we will use. Assume (ii). Let $\rho : V \to U$ be an arbitrary resolution of singularities (not necessarily symplectic). Assume U is small enough that V contracts to $\rho^{-1}(y)$. Let $Z \subseteq U$ be the singular locus, so $U^\circ = U \setminus Z$. Let $\mathbf{H}^\bullet_{DR}$ denote the de Rham hypercohomology. By Hartshorne's theorem [Har72, Har75], $\mathbf{H}^\bullet_{DR}(\hat{V}_{\rho^{-1}(y)}) \cong H^\bullet(\rho^{-1}(U)) \cong H^\bullet(\rho^{-1}(y))$, the topological cohomology. Applying the Mayer-Vietoris sequence (cf. [ES12a, (4.40)–(4.44)]), we find that $\mathbf{H}^\bullet_{DR}(\hat{V}_{\rho^{-1}(y)} \setminus \rho^{-1}(Z)) \cong H^\bullet(\rho^{-1}(U) \setminus \rho^{-1}(Z)) \cong H^\bullet(U^\circ)$. On the other hand, $\hat{V}_{\rho^{-1}(y)} \setminus \rho^{-1}(Z) = \hat{U}_y \setminus Z$, since ρ is an isomorphism over $U^\circ = U \setminus Z$. Thus we conclude that $\mathbf{H}^\bullet_{DR}(\hat{U}_y \setminus Z) \cong H^\bullet(U^\circ)$. Now, $\tilde{\Omega}_{\hat{U}_y}$ is the global sections of the algebraic de Rham complex on $\hat{U}_y \setminus Z$ (since $U \setminus Z$ is the smooth locus of U, U is normal, and Z has codimension at least two). Therefore, by the spectral sequence computing hypercohomology, $H^1(\tilde{\Omega}_{\hat{U}_y})$ is a summand of $\mathbf{H}^1_{DR}(\hat{U}_y \setminus Z) = H^1(U^\circ)$ (alternatively, we can see that the canonical map $H^1(\tilde{\Omega}_{\hat{U}_y}) \to H^1(U^\circ)$ is injective since any algebraic one-form which is the differential of a smooth function is actually the differential of an algebraic function, and the same applies after taking formal completions). By the hypothesis, the latter is zero, establishing $H^1(\tilde{\Omega}_{\hat{U}_y}) = 0$. Since $\hat{U}_y \cong \Delta^{\dim Y} \hat{\times} S$, we also get $H^1(\tilde{\Omega}_S) = 0$, as desired.

Finally, we explain why part (iii) implies (iv). Suppose $H^1(\tilde{\Omega}_S) = 0$ and X (hence S) is generically symplectic. If ξ is a Poisson vector field on S, i.e., $[\xi, \pi] = 0$ for π the Poisson structure, then for $\omega = \pi^{-1}$ the generic symplectic structure, $i_\xi \omega$ is a closed one-form, and it is exact if and only if it is Hamiltonian.

3.7 Quantization

Parallel to Theorem 23, Theorem 31 has the following consequence. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\hbar,k}(\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{n-1})$ denote the completion of (24) with respect to the left ideal $(\hbar, u, z_1', \ldots, z_{2n-2}')$, i.e., the quantization of $\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{2n-1}$ discussed in §2.1.

Theorem 49. *Let X, Y, y, and k be as in Theorem 31, and assume $\ell = 1$. Then, every $\mathbf{C}^\times$-compatible quantization $A_\hbar$ of $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ has subalgebras $\mathcal{D}_{\hbar,k}(\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{(\dim Y)/2-1})$ and $A_\hbar'$ quantizing $\hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ and $\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} S$, respectively, so that $A_\hbar'$ is the simultaneous centralizer of $t, z_1, \ldots, z_{\dim Y - 2}$. We have*

$$A_\hbar \cong \mathcal{D}_{\hbar,k}(\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{(\dim Y)/2-1}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{C}[t,t^{-1}][\![\hbar]\!]} A_\hbar' \tag{50}$$

as graded topological $\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar]\!]$-modules.

In the case that all Poisson vector fields on S are Hamiltonian (or any of the conditions of Theorem 42 are satisfied), then we can choose the coordinate u so that (50) is a graded algebra isomorphism. If, further, the conditions of Corollary 43 are satisfied, i.e., S also admits a $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action giving its Poisson structure degree $-k$, and this action quantizes to a compatible action on $A_\hbar'$ (now acting trivially on $\mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] \subseteq A_\hbar'$), then we can replace the tensor product above with one over $\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar]\!]$: for $A_\hbar'' \subseteq A_\hbar'$ the subspace where the two $\mathbf{C}^\times$ actions have the same weight,

$$A_\hbar \cong \mathcal{D}_{\hbar,k}(\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{(\dim Y)/2-1}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar]\!]} A_\hbar'', \tag{51}$$

as graded algebras.

Remark 52. If we don't assume $\ell = 1$, then (50) still holds, where now $A'_\hbar$ quantizes T as in Theorem 31.(iv). We see in the theorem that a quantization of X always yields a quantization, $A'_\hbar$, of T, which indicates the naturality of T. In contrast, to get the quantization $A''_\hbar$ of the slice S in our $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant context, we needed a further assumption that not merely S but also the quantization of T admit a $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action.

Motivated by the additional hypothesis in the second paragraph of Theorem 49, we can ask the following quantum analogue of Question 3:

Question 53. Suppose X is conical and admits a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant symplectic resolution with homogeneous symplectic form of degree k (or has symplectic singularities and a symplectic structure of degree k on the smooth locus). Let $x \in X$ have trivial stabilizer under $\mathbf{C}^\times$ and suppose that Question 3 has a positive answer, i.e., the S in (2) admits a contracting $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action for which the Poisson structure is homogeneous, and suppose it has degree k. Then,

1. Does every $\mathbf{C}^\times$-compatible quantization of X contain a subalgebra which quantizes S and admits a compatible lift of the $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action on S?
2. Does this subalgebra arise from the above, via a compatible lift of the action on T?

We see in the next sections that this question has a positive answer, at least, for certain quantizations of linear quotients and hypertoric varieties. Note, as pointed out after the statement of Question 3, that the degree k must be positive in Question 53.

Remark 54. As in Remark 4, one can also weaken the hypotheses of the question and require only that X be normal and conical and have a symplectic form of positive degree k on its smooth locus (and not require that X have symplectic singularities).

Proof of Theorem 49. By Theorem 31, it is enough to replace $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y}$ with $(\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{2n-1}) \hat{\times} S$, with Poisson bivector (33). Then, to show that the quantization actually has the above form is similar to the proof of Theorem 31 together with Theorem 23. Let $n := (\dim Y)/2$. We can first apply the argument of Theorem 23 to lift $t, u, z'_1, \ldots, z'_{2n-2}$ to elements of $A_\hbar$ satisfying the commutation relations of $\mathcal{D}_{\hbar,k}(\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{(\dim Y)/2-1})$, i.e., so that we get a subalgebra of $A_\hbar$ of the desired form. Then we can construct the subalgebra quantizing S as the degree-zero part of the centralizer of $t, z'_1, \ldots, z'_{2n-2}$. Namely, let $\nabla_t := t^{k-1}\hbar^{-1}\operatorname{ad}(t)$ and $\nabla_i := t^k \hbar^{-1} \operatorname{ad}(z_i)$. These yield well-defined operators on $A_\hbar/\hbar^N A_\hbar$ for all $N \geq 1$. We can show inductively on N that the kernel of these operators in $A_\hbar/\hbar^N A_\hbar$ is a subalgebra which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_S[t, t^{-1}] \otimes \mathbf{C}[\hbar]/(\hbar^N)$ as a $\mathbf{C}[\hbar]/(\hbar^N)$-module. For $N = 1$ this is true because $\mathcal{O}_S[t, t^{-1}]$ is the Poisson centralizer. Then the inductive step is the same argument as that used in part (2) of Theorem 31 to construct $\mathcal{O}_S[t, t^{-1}]$. (Note that we could also have used this argument above, rather than the one of Theorem 23, to construct lifts of $z'_1, \ldots, z'_{2n-2}$ to $A_\hbar$ satisfying the commutation relations of $\mathcal{D}_{\hbar,k}(\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{(\dim Y)/2-1})$. Namely, given lifts of $z'_1, \ldots, z'_{2i-2}$, we pass to their centralizer by this argument, fix a lift of z'_{2i-1} in the centralizer, then lift z'_{2i} to the centralizer so that it satisfies $[z'_{2i-1}, z'_{2i}] = \hbar$.)

In the case that all Poisson vector fields on S are Hamiltonian, we can inductively replace u by a new coordinate function (which remains unchanged modulo $\hbar$), so that u commutes with $A'_\hbar$ and continues to satisfy the needed commutation relations in $\mathcal{D}_{\hbar,k}(\mathbf{C}^\times \hat{\times} \Delta^{(\dim Y)/2-1})$. We begin, by Corollary 43, knowing $[u, A'_\hbar] \subseteq \hbar^2 A_\hbar$. Assume $[u, A'_\hbar] \subseteq \hbar^N A_\hbar$ for some $N \geq 2$. Then we can consider the vector field $\hbar^{-N} t^{kN} \operatorname{ad}(u)$ on $\mathcal{O}_S$ valued in $A_\hbar$. In fact, it must be valued in the centralizer of $t, u, z'_1, \ldots, z'_{2n-2}$, by the Jacobi identity, so it is valued in $A'_\hbar$. Then, it is Hamiltonian, so we can subtract an element of $\hbar^{N-1} A'_\hbar$ from u so that $[u, A'_\hbar] \subseteq \hbar^{N+1} A_\hbar$.

Finally, if the assumptions of Corollary 43 are satisfied and $A'_\hbar$ has a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-compatible action for the new grading (giving t now degree zero), then it remains to note that $A''_\hbar$ as defined in the theorem is a graded subalgebra of $A'_\hbar$, and that $A'_\hbar = A''_\hbar[t, t^{-1}]$. $\square$

4 Finite linear quotients

In this section we give more explicit and stronger versions of the main theorem when X is a finite quotient of a symplectic vector space. In particular, we explain how one can replace formal localization by an explicit étale (or Zariski) localization.

Let V be a symplectic vector space and $\Gamma < \mathrm{GL}(V)$ a finite subgroup. We consider the quotient $X := V/\Gamma$. The symplectic structure on V makes X a Poisson variety. Equip V with the dilation action of $\mathbf{C}^\times$, which makes its symplectic form have weight 2, and hence the Poisson structures on V and X have weight -2.

Recall that a subgroup $\Gamma_0 < \Gamma$ is called *parabolic* if there exists $v \in V$ such that $\mathrm{Stab}_\Gamma(v) = \Gamma_0$. Let V^{Γ_0} be the fixed point set of Γ_0, $N(\Gamma_0) < \Gamma$ be the normalizer, and $N(\Gamma_0)^0 := N(\Gamma_0)/\Gamma_0$, the residual action on V^{Γ_0}. Then one has the symplectic leaf $X_{\Gamma_0} := (V^{\Gamma_0})^\circ / N(\Gamma_0)^0$, where $(V^{\Gamma_0})^\circ := \{w \in V \mid \mathrm{Stab}_\Gamma(w) = \Gamma_0\}$. Its closure is $\bar{X}_{\Gamma_0} = V^{\Gamma_0}/N(\Gamma_0)^0$. Moreover, all symplectic leaves X_{Γ_0} are obtained in this way, and this establishes a bijection between conjugacy classes of parabolic subgroups of Γ and symplectic leaves (or symplectic leaf closures) of X.

Let $\Gamma_0 < \Gamma$ be a parabolic subgroup. Fix $v \in V^{\Gamma_0}$ with stabilizer equal to Γ_0, and let $\bar{v} \in X_{\Gamma_0}$ be its image in X. We assume (as in Theorem 1) that $\mathbf{C}^\times$ acts freely on $\bar{v}$, i.e., that v is not an eigenvector of any nontrivial element of $N(\Gamma_0)^0$.[3]

Proposition 55. There is a canonical $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant Poisson isomorphism

$$\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot \bar{v}} \cong (\widehat{X_{\Gamma_0}})_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot \bar{v}} \hat{\times} \widehat{(V^{\Gamma_0})^\perp}/\Gamma_0. \tag{56}$$

This implies that Question 3 has an affirmative answer in this case.

The proposition follows easily from the following étale local statement. Let $V^\circ := \{w \in V \mid \mathrm{Stab}_\Gamma(w) < \Gamma_0\}$ and denote by $X^\circ = V^\circ/\Gamma$ its image. Then we have an étale cover

---

[3] Note that such a $v \in V^{\Gamma_0}$ always exists unless $-\mathrm{Id} \in N(\Gamma_0)^0$, since no multiples of the identity other than $\pm\mathrm{Id}$ preserve the symplectic form on V^{Γ_0}. In other words, the ℓ appearing in Theorem 31 must be either two or one, and it is two if and only if $-\mathrm{Id} \in N(\Gamma_0)^0$.

$V^\circ/\Gamma_0 \to X^\circ$. Note that X_{Γ_0} is closed in X°. We have an étale covering $(V^{\Gamma_0})^\circ \to X_{\Gamma_0}$. Clearly, passing to the étale covers,

$$V^\circ/\Gamma_0 \cong (V^{\Gamma_0})^\circ \times (V^{\Gamma_0})^\perp/\Gamma_0.$$

We note that this holds for all $v \in (V^{\Gamma_0})^\circ$. Then, the proposition follows from this together with the fact that, when $\mathbf{C}^\times$ acts freely on $\bar{v}$, then the natural map $\widehat{V^{\Gamma_0}}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot v} \to (\widehat{X_{\Gamma_0}})_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot v}$ of completions is an isomorphism (since $\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot v$ does not intersect its image under any nontrivial element of $N(\Gamma_0)^0$).

Remark 57. Putting the above together with the results of Section 3, we can relate the Darboux-Weinstein decompositions for an arbitrary $\mathbf{C}^\times$-Poisson variety X with a quotient X/Γ for Γ a finite subgroup. Namely, suppose we are given $y \in X$ in symplectic leaf Y which we assume to be $\mathbf{C}^\times$-stable and a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant Poisson decomposition $\hat{X}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \cong \hat{Y}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot y} \hat{\times} S$. As before, let $\Gamma_0 := \mathrm{Stab}_\Gamma(y)$, $N(\Gamma_0) < \Gamma$ be the normalizer, and $N(\Gamma_0)^0 := N(\Gamma_0)/\Gamma_0$. Let $z := \Gamma \cdot y \in X/\Gamma$. Then the symplectic leaf of z is $Z := Y_{\Gamma_0}/N(\Gamma_0)^0$ for $Y_{\Gamma_0} := \{y' \in Y \mid \mathrm{Stab}_\Gamma(y') = \Gamma_0\}$. Assume that $\mathbf{C}^\times$ acts freely on z. Then, we then get a decomposition $\widehat{X/\Gamma}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot z} \cong \hat{Z}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot z} \hat{\times} \big((T_y Y_{\Gamma_0})^\perp \hat{\times} S\big)/\Gamma_0$, for $(T_y Y_{\Gamma_0})^\perp \subseteq T_y Y$ the perpendicular to $T_y Y_{\Gamma_0}$.

Remark 58. In the case above where $V = T^*U$ for U a complex vector space and $\Gamma < \mathrm{GL}(U) < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$, we can strengthen the proposition by completing only in the U direction. For $u \in U$ with stabilizer Γ_0, and $\bar{u} \in U/\Gamma \subseteq X$ its image, this yields

$$\begin{multline} X \hat{\times}_{U/\Gamma} \widehat{U/\Gamma}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot \bar{u}} \cong \\ \big(X_{\Gamma_0} \hat{\times}_{U^{\Gamma_0}/N(\Gamma_0)^0} \widehat{U^{\Gamma_0}/N(\Gamma_0)^0}_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot \bar{u}}\big) \hat{\times} \big(T^*(U^{\Gamma_0})^\perp/\Gamma_0 \hat{\times}_{(U^{\Gamma_0})^\perp/\Gamma_0} \widehat{(U^{\Gamma_0})^\perp}/\Gamma_0\big). \quad (59) \end{multline}$$

Also, in the case that $N(\Gamma_0)^0 = \{1\}$, then we can replace all completions along the punctured line $\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot v$ by completions along the entire leaf X_{Γ_0} (more generally, we can complete not merely along a punctured line but along any $\mathbf{C}^\times$-invariant locally closed subset which does not intersect its images under $N(\Gamma_0)^0$.)

4.1 Quantization

A standard quantization of V is the algebra of differential operators on a Lagrangian $U \subseteq V$ (so that $V \cong T^*U$). So X is quantized by $\mathcal{D}_\hbar(U)^\Gamma$. Call this A_X, and similarly define $A_{X_{\Gamma_0}}$ and $A_{V^{\Gamma_0}/\Gamma_0}$. By a straightforward quantum generalization of Proposition 55, one obtains, under the same assumptions:

Corollary 60. There is a canonical graded continuous $\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar]\!]$-algebra isomorphism

$$(\hat{A}_X)_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot \bar{v}} \cong (\hat{A}_{X_{\Gamma_0}})_{\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot \bar{v}} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar]\!]} A_{V^{\Gamma_0}/\Gamma_0}. \quad (61)$$

This implies a positive answer to Question 53 for these quantizations.

There is a well-known family of quantizations which generalizes the invariant differential operators (and in fact yields the universal deformation of these), called spherical symplectic reflection algebras [EG02]. In order to be consistent with typical notation for symplectic reflection algebras, we will actually consider the Poisson variety V^*/Γ, so that its algebra of functions is $\mathrm{Sym}(V)^\Gamma$.

Recall that one constructs the universal deformation of $\mathrm{Weyl}(V) \rtimes \Gamma$ as follows ([EG02], cf. e.g.,[Los12]). Let $S \subseteq \Gamma$ be the subset of symplectic reflections, i.e., $s \in S$ if $\mathrm{rk}(s - \mathrm{Id}) = 2$ (this is the minimal possible nonzero rank since the determinant of s is one; geometrically, s can be thought of as a generalized reflection around the symplectic subspace $\ker(s-\mathrm{Id})$). Let $S = S_1 \sqcup S_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_r$ be the partition into conjugacy classes. Define the vector space $\mathfrak{c}$ with basis $\hbar, c_1, \ldots, c_r$, and for $s \in S_i$, we set $c(s) := c_i$. (Note that $\mathfrak{c} = \mathbf{C}\cdot\hbar \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(S, \mathbf{C})^*$.) Then we define the algebra $H(V, \Gamma)$ as the quotient of the skew-product algebra $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{c}) \otimes TV \rtimes \Gamma$ by the relations

$$[x, y] = \hbar\omega(x, y) + \sum_{s \in S} c(s)\omega_s(x, y)s, \tag{62}$$

where ω is the symplectic form, and ω_s is the projection of ω to $\wedge^2 ker(s-\mathrm{Id})^\perp$, i.e., $\omega_s(x, y) = 0$ if x or y is in $\ker(s - \mathrm{Id})$, and $\omega_s(x, y) = \omega(x, y)$ if $x, y \in \mathrm{im}(s - \mathrm{Id})$.

Then, the (universal) spherical symplectic reflection algebra is defined as $U(V, \Gamma) := eH(V, \Gamma)e$, where $e := \frac{1}{|\Gamma|}\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \gamma \in \mathbf{C}[\Gamma]$ is the symmetrizer. The algebra $U(V, \Gamma)$ encodes the family of quantizations $\hat{U}_\lambda(V, \Gamma)$ for $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r) \in \mathbf{C}^r$, where $U_\lambda(V, \Gamma) := U(V, \Gamma)/(c_i - \lambda_i\hbar)$ and $\hat{U}_\lambda(V, \Gamma)$ is its $\hbar$-adic completion. There are canonical quotients $H(V, \Gamma) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}(V^*) \rtimes \Gamma$ and $U(V, \Gamma) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}(V^*)^\Gamma$, with kernel the ideal generated by $\mathfrak{c}$.

Then, Proposition 55 quantizes to the following statement. We will use the projection $\pi : H(V, \Gamma) \to \mathcal{O}(V^*) \rtimes \Gamma$ and similarly $\pi : U(V, \Gamma) \to \mathcal{O}(V^*)^\Gamma$. Given any closed subvariety $Z \subseteq V^*/\Gamma$, with ideal I_Z, let $\hat{H}(V, \Gamma)_Z$ denote the completion of $H(V, \Gamma)$ along the (two-sided) ideal generated by $\pi^{-1}(I_Z)$, i.e., $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}(V^*)I_Z \rtimes \Gamma)$. Define in the same way $\hat{U}(V, \Gamma)_Z$, which equals $e\hat{H}(V, \Gamma)_Z e$. Given an open affine subvariety $Y \subseteq Z$, write Z as the complement of the vanishing of a function $f \in \mathcal{O}(V^*)^\Gamma$. We can define the localizations $H(V, \Gamma)[\pi^{-1}(f)^{-1}]$ and $U(V, \Gamma)[\pi^{-1}(f)^{-1}]$, obtained by inverting all elements in $\pi^{-1}(f)$ (these are Ore localizations with respect to the set $\bigcup_{m\geq 1} \pi^{-1}(f^m)$). Then we define $\hat{H}(V, \Gamma)_Y$ and $\hat{U}(V, \Gamma)$ as the completions of these localizations with respect to the ideals generated by $\pi^{-1}(I_Z)$.

Let $x \in V^*$ be a point whose stabilizer is $\Gamma_0 < \Gamma$. Let $\bar{x} \in V^*/\Gamma$ be its image.

In the above context (which is significantly more delicate than the context merely of invariant differential operators, due to the deformed relations), Losev proved a decomposition theorem [Los12, Theorem 1.2.1]. Using the result of the previous section, we can obtain a graded version of his theorem. Assume as before that $\mathbf{C}^\times$ acts freely on $\bar{x}$. Suppose that (up to reordering) $S_1, \ldots, S_i$ are the conjugacy classes of symplectic reflections which intersect Γ_0, and let $S_1^0, \ldots, S_i^0$ be their intersections with Γ_0. We then consider $U((V^{\Gamma_0})^\perp, \Gamma_0)$ to be a $\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar, c_1, \ldots, c_i]\!]$-algebra, defined using the conjugacy classes $S_1^0, \ldots, S_i^0$.

Theorem 63. There are graded continuous $\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar, c_1, \ldots, c_r]\!]$-algebra isomorphisms

$$\hat{U}(V, \Gamma)_{\mathbf{C}^\times\cdot\bar{x}} \cong \hat{U}(V^{\Gamma_0}, \{1\})_{\mathbf{C}^\times\cdot\bar{x}} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar]\!]} \hat{U}((V^{\Gamma_0})^\perp, \Gamma_0)_0[\![c_{i+1}, \ldots, c_r]\!], \tag{64}$$

$$\hat{H}(V, \Gamma)_{\mathbf{C}^\times\cdot\bar{x}} \cong \hat{H}(V^{\Gamma_0}, \{1\})_{\mathbf{C}^\times\cdot\bar{x}} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar]\!]} \mathrm{Mat}_{|\Gamma/\Gamma_0|}(\hat{H}((V^{\Gamma_0})^\perp, \Gamma_0)_0)[\![c_{i+1}, \ldots, c_r]\!]. \tag{65}$$

Here $\mathrm{Mat}_r(A)$ is the algebra of r by r matrices with coefficients in A (i.e., $\mathrm{Mat}_r(\mathbf{C}) \otimes A$).

The theorem implies that Question 53 has a positive answer for every completed spherical symplectic reflection algebra $\hat{U}_\lambda(V, \Gamma)$.

Remark 66. The way that the matrix algebra above actually arises is by the centralizer construction: for any algebra A with an action by $\Gamma_0 < \Gamma$, one takes $\mathrm{End}_A(\mathrm{Fun}_{\Gamma_0}(\Gamma, A))$, where $\mathrm{Fun}_{\Gamma_0}(\Gamma, A)$ is the right A-module of Γ_0-equivariant functions from Γ to A. It is clear that $\mathrm{End}_A(\mathrm{Fun}_{\Gamma_0}(\Gamma, A)) \cong \mathrm{Mat}_{|\Gamma/\Gamma_0|}(A)$, but the isomorphism depends on a set of representatives of the right cosets $\Gamma_0 \setminus \Gamma$. We apply this to $A = \hat{H}((V^{\Gamma_0})^{\perp}, \Gamma_0)_0$.

The proof of the above theorem is by checking that the arguments of [Los12] go through when one completes along the punctured line $\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot \bar{x}$ instead of at $\bar{x}$. In fact, the above statement is a corollary of a sheafified statement [Los12, Theorem 2.5.3], which gives a $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant isomorphism of sheaves of $\widehat{\operatorname{Sym}\mathfrak{c}} \rtimes \Gamma$-algebras on the symplectic leaf containing $\bar{x}$. We note that in the case where $\Gamma < \mathrm{GL}(W)$ for $W \subseteq V$ a Lagrangian subspace (so $V \cong T^*W$ and hence $\mathrm{GL}(W) < \mathrm{Sp}(V)$, one can instead use the argument of [BE09], which is simpler, and again complete along the punctured line instead of the point. We omit further details.

5 The hypertoric case

Let $T^m = (\mathbf{C}^\times)^m$ act linearly and faithfully on $\mathbf{A}^n$, and let $\mathfrak{t}^m := \operatorname{Lie} T^m$. Associated to this is a Hamiltonian action of T^m on the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbf{A}^n$, with moment map $\mu : T^m \to (\mathfrak{t}^m)^* \cong \mathbf{C}^m$. We consider the variety $X = \mu^{-1}(0)/\!/T^m$, called the affine hypertoric variety. This is also denoted by $T^*\mathbf{A}^n/\!/\!/\!/T^m$, the Hamiltonian reduction. We assume here that $\mu^{-1}(0)/\!/T^m$ admits a smooth symplectic resolution given by a GIT quotient, $\mu^{-1}(0)/\!/_\chi T^m = \operatorname{Proj} \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbf{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)]^{m\chi}$, for a suitable character $\chi : T^m \to \mathbf{C}^\times$; in other words, as we recall in the proof, the action of T is given by a unimodular hyperplane arrangement.

Let us use the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-action given by dilations in the vector space $\mathbf{C}^{2n} = T^*\mathbf{A}^n$; thus $k = 2$ in the previous notation.

In this case, a much stronger statement than Theorem 31 holds: we have in fact an equivariant Darboux-Weinstein decomposition *Zariski-locally*, as we prove below.

Theorem 67. Let X be a hypertoric cone as above, Z be a symplectic leaf of X other than the vertex, and $z \in Z$ a point. Then there is an open $\mathbf{C}^\times$-stable neighborhood X° of z which splits as a product of $\mathbf{C}^\times$-Poisson varieties,

$$X^\circ \cong Z^\circ \times S,$$

with $Z^\circ = X^\circ \cap Z$ and S the hypertoric cone corresponding to the slice of Z.

Moreover, Z° is $\mathbf{C}^\times$-Poisson isomorphic to the complement in $\mathbf{A}^{\dim Z}$ of $\leq n$ linear hyperplanes, equipped with the standard symplectic form, each of the coordinate functions homogeneous (not necessarily of degree one), and the symplectic form having weight two.

As we will see, the hyperplanes appearing in the second paragraph consist of some of the coordinate hyperplanes and at most $m/2$ additional linear hyperplanes. We note that we use the notation Z for the symplectic leaf in the theorem (and proof below) so as to be able to use coordinates x_i, y_i on $T^*\mathbf{A}^n$ without confusion.

The theorem implies that Question 3 has an affirmative answer in this case.

Remark 68. For the above theorem, we did not need to assume that $\mathbf{C}^\times$ acts freely on z, nor even that the stabilizer of z be minimal in Z. This is a special feature of the hypertoric case (already in the finite linear quotient case, it follows from the preceding section that there need not be a product decomposition when $\mathbf{C}^\times$ acts nonfreely, but rather one only gets a statement as in Theorem 31.(iv)). Note that, for generic $z \in Z$, the order of the stabilizer in $\mathbf{C}^\times$ is either 1 or 2, just as in the case of finite linear quotients (so the ℓ appearing in Theorem 31 will be either 1 or 2).

Remark 69. Recall that there is an important residual Hamiltonian action of $\bar{T}_X := (\mathbf{C}^\times)^n/T^m$ on X, obtained from the standard action of $(\mathbf{C}^\times)^n$ on $\mathbf{A}^n$ and hence its Hamiltonian action on $T^*\mathbf{A}^n$. We can similarly define residual tori $\bar{T}_Z$ and $\bar{T}_S$ acting on Z and S, respectively. It follows from the proof below that X° and Z° are stable under their residual actions. There is a canonical exact sequence $1 \to \bar{T}_S \to \bar{T}_X \to \bar{T}_Z \to 1$ (whose maps descend from the inclusion and projection of coordinates on $\mathbf{A}^n$). Then, the isomorphism of the theorem is compatible with the actions of $\bar{T}_S$, and the projection $X^\circ \twoheadrightarrow Z^\circ$ carries the action of $\bar{T}_X$ to that of $\bar{T}_Z$.

Remark 70. It follows from the proof below that one similarly has a decomposition for the total space $\mathcal{X} := \mathbf{A}^n /\!/ T^m$ of the natural family $\bar{\mu} : \mathcal{X} \to (\mathfrak{t}^m)^*$ of (Poisson) deformations of X, with $\bar{\mu}$ the map which factors the moment map $\mu : \mathbf{A}^n \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}} \mathfrak{t}^m$ (so $X = \bar{\mu}^{-1}(0)$, and $X_t := \bar{\mu}^{-1}(t)$ gives the natural deformation for $t \in \mathfrak{t}^m$).[4] Namely, we define $\mathcal{X}^\circ$ in the same way as we define X° in the proof (except without intersecting with $\bar{\mu}^{-1}(0)$), and we obtain the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-Poisson decomposition

$$\mathcal{X}^\circ \cong Z^\circ \times \mathfrak{t}^* \times \mathcal{S}, \tag{71}$$

with $\mathfrak{t} = \operatorname{Lie} T$ as defined in the proof below, Z° the same as in theorem, and $\mathcal{S}$ the natural deformation of the hypertoric variety S appearing there. The Poisson structure on $\mathfrak{t}^*$ is zero, and its $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action is the square of the dilation action on $\mathbf{C}^{\dim \mathfrak{t}} \cong \mathfrak{t}^*$ (i.e., giving the coordinate functions degree two). There is also a natural formula for the map $\bar{\mu}$ on the RHS compatible with the isomorphism, and the residual Hamiltonian torus actions are compatible as in the previous remark.

Proof of Theorem 67. By [BD, 3.2,3.3], the assumption on the resolution of X above is equivalent to the following unimodularity condition. Without loss of generality, assume that T^m acts on standard coordinate functions $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n$ of $T^*\mathbf{A}^n$ diagonally, i.e., by

$$(c_1, \ldots, c_m) \cdot x_i = \prod_{j=1}^m c_j^{b_{ij}} x_i, \quad (c_1, \ldots, c_m) \cdot y_i = \prod_{j=1}^m c_j^{-b_{ij}} y_i.$$

---

[4] We remark that the assumption that X admits a symplectic resolution is equivalent to the statement that X_t be smooth for generic t, and hence smooth affine symplectic.

In other words, the columns of the $n \times m$-matrix (b_{ji}) span the kernel of the matrix dual to the associated central hyperplane arrangement of n hyperplanes in $(\mathbf{C}^{n-m})^*$. The unimodularity condition is equivalent to the condition that all nonzero $m \times m$-minors are ± 1.

In coordinates $\mathfrak{t}^m = \mathbf{C}^m$ the moment map μ takes the form

$$\mu(x_1, \ldots, y_n)_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{ji} x_j y_j.$$

Let $\mathrm{pr} : \mu^{-1}(0) \to X$ be the projection. The symplectic leaves of X are given by certain subgroups $\hat{T} < T^m$, called *parabolic* subgroups, which are defined as those such that $\hat{T} = \mathrm{Stab}(v)$ for some $v \in \mathbf{C}^n$. Given such a subgroup, let $F \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be the subset of coordinates on which $\hat{T}$ acts *nontrivially*, so that F^c, the complement, is the subset on which $\hat{T}$ acts trivially. Henceforth, for every $H \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we let $\mathbf{A}^H$ denote the coordinate subset corresponding to H; then $\mathbf{A}^{F^c} \subseteq \mathbf{A}^n$ is the fixed locus of $\hat{T}$. Let $T := T^m/\hat{T}$, which acts on $\mathbf{A}^{F^c}$. One obtains the closure $\bar{Z}$ of a symplectic leaf Z by the Hamiltonian reduction of $T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c}$ by T, i.e., $\bar{Z} = T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c} ////\hat{T} = (\mu^{-1}(0) \cap T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c})//T$. This identifies with $\mathrm{pr}(T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c} \cap \mu^{-1}(0)) \subseteq X$. As before, we will also let $\mathfrak{t} = \mathrm{Lie}(T)$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{t}} = \mathrm{Lie}(\hat{T})$.

It is a general fact that the closures of the symplectic leaves are of this form. There are only finitely many, as there are only finitely many parabolic subgroups of T^m. This therefore determines the symplectic leaves themselves: the leaf Z is the complement in $\bar{Z}$ of all proper subsets which are the closures of symplectic leaves. However, multiple $\hat{T}$ can produce the same symplectic leaf. We will therefore assume that $\hat{T}$ is maximal for its leaf. Then, the leaf $Z \subseteq Z^\circ$ itself is given as the image under pr of the closed free T-orbits. (Moreover, under this assumption, one can easily check that this produces a bijection between maximal $\hat{T}$ and symplectic leaves; see [PW07, §2], where this is explained in terms of $F \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and the F that occur in this way are the *coloop-free flats*, which are natural combinatorially defined subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. We will not use these facts here.)

Let Z be a symplectic leaf, $\hat{T} < T^m$ be a (in fact, the unique) maximal corresponding parabolic subgroup, and $F \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be the subset as above of coordinates on which $\hat{T}$ acts nontrivially. The closure $\bar{Z}$ is then given by $\bar{Z} = (\mu^{-1}(0) \cap T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c})//T$. Let $z \in Z$ and let $\tilde{z} \in T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c}$ be a preimage of z in $\mu^{-1}(0) \cap T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c}$.

Let $G \subseteq F^c$ be a subset with $|G| = \dim T$ such that T acts with finite kernel on $\mathbf{A}^G$, such that for all $i \in G$, either $\tilde{z}(x_i) \neq 0$ or $\tilde{z}(y_i) \neq 0$. Such a subset must exist because $T \cdot \tilde{z}$ is a free orbit. Moreover, by our unimodularity hypothesis, the kernel of T on $\mathbf{A}^G$, à priori finite, must actually be trivial; thus, T acts generically faithfully on $\mathbf{A}^G$. Without loss of generality (up to swapping some of the x_i with y_i), we can actually assume that $\tilde{z}(x_i) \neq 0$ for all $i \in G$.

We then define $(T^*\mathbf{A}^n)^\circ$ as the complement of coordinate hyperplanes on which $\tilde{z}$ is zero. We similarly define $(T^*\mathbf{A}^H)^\circ := T^*\mathbf{A}^H \cap (T^*\mathbf{A}^n)^\circ$ and $(\mathbf{A}^H)^\circ := \mathbf{A}^H \cap (T^*\mathbf{A}^n)^\circ$ for all $H \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$. In particular, $(\mathbf{A}^G)^\circ$ is the locus where all coordinates are nonzero, and T acts freely and transitively on it. It follows that the T-orbits in $(T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c})^\circ$, or equivalently the T^m-orbits, are all closed and free, and that $Z^\circ = \mathrm{pr}((T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c})^\circ \cap \mu^{-1}(0))$. (We remark that, if one desired, one could alternatively have defined $(T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c})^\circ$ to be the maximal subset consisting of closed T-orbits such that the x_i coordinate is nonzero for all $i \in G$; this is a larger open subset and depends only on G and Z and not on z, but has the disadvantage of not being affine. We could similarly consider any (affine) open T-stable subset thereof. We would then set $T^*\mathbf{A}^n = (T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c})^\circ \times T^*\mathbf{A}^F$.)

Next, let us restrict the moment map to $T^*(\mathbf{A}^{F^c})^\circ$. This lands in $\mathfrak{t}^* \subseteq (\mathfrak{t}^m)^*$. By the above, for every $z \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, the equations cutting out $\mu^{-1}(z)$ in $(\mathbf{A}^{F^c})^\circ$ uniquely solve for $x_i y_i, i \in G$ in terms of $x_j y_j, j \in F^c \setminus G$. In other words, since x_i are invertible on $(\mathbf{A}^{F^c})^\circ$ for $i \in G$, these equations uniquely solve for $y_i, i \in G$ in terms of the other coordinates. Hence, we have an isomorphism

$$\pi^{F^c\setminus G} \times \mu \times \pi' : T^*(\mathbf{A}^{F^c})^\circ \overset{\sim}{\to} (T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c\setminus G})^\circ \times \mathfrak{t}^* \times (\mathbf{A}^G)^\circ,$$

with $\pi^{F^c\setminus G}$ the projection to $T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c\setminus G}$ and π' the projection to $\mathbf{A}^G \subseteq T^*\mathbf{A}^G$ (just the x coordinates). Since $(\mathbf{A}^G)^\circ \cong T$ consists of a single free T-orbit and $(T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c})^\circ$ is affine, the above produces an isomorphism $(T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c\setminus G})^\circ \cong Z^\circ$.

The final assertion then follows immediately. The weights of the coordinate functions $x_i, y_i, i \in F^c \setminus G$ on $T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c\setminus G} \cong \mathbf{A}^{\dim Z}$ are then explicitly given as follows: for each $i \in F^c \setminus G$, let $r_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$ be the unique integers such that $x_i \prod_{j\in G} x_j^{r_{ij}}$ is T-invariant. Then, $|x_i| := |x_i \prod_{j\in G} x_j^{r_{ij}}| = 1 + \sum_{j\in G} r_{ij}$, and similarly we compute the degrees of the y_i.

Next, write $T^m = T' \times \hat{T}$ for $T' \subseteq T^m$ some connected subtorus such that the composition $T' \to T^m \to T$ is an isomorphism. Let $\mu_{T'}$ and $\mu_{\hat{T}}$ be the restricted moment maps, valued in $(\mathfrak{t}')^*$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{t}}^*$, respectively. Then $\mu^{-1}(0) = \mu_{\mathfrak{t}'}^{-1}(0) \cap \mu_{\hat{\mathfrak{t}}}^{-1}(0)$. Let $\pi^F : T^*\mathbf{A}^n \to T^*\mathbf{A}^F$ be the projection. Then the projection to $T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c}$ produces an isomorphism

$$(\pi^F)^{-1}(z) \cap \mu_{\mathfrak{t}'}^{-1}(0) \cong (\mu^{-1}(-\mu_{\mathfrak{t}'}(z)) \cap T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c},$$

where we use in the first term on the RHS the isomorphism $\mathfrak{t}' \cong \mathfrak{t}$. Thus, restricted to $(T^*\mathbf{A}^n)^\circ \cap \mu_{\mathfrak{t}'}^{-1}(0)$, π^F is a fibration with fibers isomorphic to $T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c\setminus G} \times (\mathbf{A}^G)^\circ$. We conclude that $\pi^F \times \pi^{F^c\setminus G} \times \pi'$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mu^{-1}(0) \cap (T^*\mathbf{A}^n)^\circ \cong (\pi^F \times \pi^{F^c\setminus G} \times \pi')(\mu_{\hat{\mathfrak{t}}}^{-1}(0)) \cap (T^*\mathbf{A}^F \times (T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c\setminus G})^\circ \times (\mathbf{A}^G)^\circ).$$

Now, $\mu_{\hat{\mathfrak{t}}}$ is trivial in the $T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c}$ direction. That is, for $\mu_{\hat{\mathfrak{t}}}^F : T^*\mathbf{A}^F \to \hat{\mathfrak{t}}$ the restricted moment map, we have $\mu_{\hat{\mathfrak{t}}} = \mu_{\hat{\mathfrak{t}}}^F \circ \pi^F$. We conclude that

$$(T^*\mathbf{A}^n)^\circ \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \cong ((\mu_{\hat{\mathfrak{t}}}^F)^{-1}(0) \cap T^*\mathbf{A}^F) \times (T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c\setminus G})^\circ \times (\mathbf{A}^G)^\circ.$$

Moreover, given functions on any two of the above three factors, if we write them in terms of standard coordinate functions, the resulting functions on $T^*\mathbf{A}$ Poisson-commute. By the unimodularity condition, the action of T on $(\mathbf{A}^G)^\circ$ is free and transitive. Therefore, we can replace each coordinate function x_i or y_i on $T^*\mathbf{A}^F$ with its product by a monomial $x_i' := x_i \prod_{j\in G} x_j^{r_j}$ (or similarly $y_i' := y_i \prod_{j\in G} x_j^{s_j}$) so that x_i', y_i' are invariant under T', where here the x_j are the coordinate functions in the $\mathbf{A}^n$ direction (hence when $j \in G$, they are coordinates on $\mathbf{A}^G$). The new x_i', y_i' still commute with all functions on $T^*\mathbf{A}^{F^c\setminus G} \times \mathbf{A}^G$ when written in terms of standard coordinate functions. Therefore, restricting to $\mu^{-1}(0)$ and quotienting by T^m yields the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant Poisson isomorphism

$$(T^*\mathbf{A})^\circ////T^m \cong Z^\circ \times S. \qquad \square$$

5.1 Quantization

Since we have a Zariski-local decomposition, we can immediately conclude a quantization of X°. Let A_Z and A_X be the standard deformation quantizations of the hypertoric cones Z and S. Namely, these are given by quantum Hamiltonian reduction $A_Z = \mathcal{D}_\hbar(A^{F^c})////T := (\mathcal{D}_\hbar(\mathbf{A}^{F^c})/\mu_{q,F^c}(\mathfrak{t})\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{A}^{F^c}))^T$, with $\mu_{q,F^c} : \mathfrak{t} \to \mathcal{D}_\hbar(\mathbf{A}^{F^c})$ the quantum comoment map with $\mu_{q,F^c}(\xi)$ the vector field given by the action of ξ, viewed as a differential operator. Similarly define A_S and A_X. Since X° is the complement in X of the images of some linear hyperplanes, we can define the corresponding localization A_{X° by inverting the corresponding linear functions and taking the $\hbar$-adic completion. Note that $Z^\circ = Z \cap X^\circ$; thus A_{Z° is obtained from A_Z by inverting the same coordinate functions and completing. We equip these algebras with the Euler vector field which assigns the coordinate functions the same degrees as in the graded algebras $\mathcal{O}(S), \mathcal{O}(Z)$, and $\mathcal{O}(X)$. Then $A_{Z^\circ}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar]\!]}A_S$ is a deformation quantization of X°, which is $\mathbf{C}^\times$-compatible by Theorem 67. (Note that $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ and $\mathcal{O}(S)$ are not, in general, nonnegatively graded; neither is, obviously, A_{X° nor A_{Z°. Thus, the quantizations are not completed Rees algebras of nonnegatively filtered algebras.)

Theorem 72. We have an isomorphism of $\mathbf{C}^\times$-compatible deformation quantizations,

$$A_{X^\circ} \cong A_{Z^\circ}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar]\!]}A_S. \tag{73}$$

The fact that both sides of the isomorphisms above are quantizations of X° is immediate; to prove the two are isomorphic follows from a straightforward quantum analogue of the proof of Theorem 67. We note that the theorem yields a positive answer to Question 53 in the case of the quantization A_{X°.

Remark 74. In the previous section, we considered the universal deformation of algebras of invariant differential operators, given by spherical symplectic reflection algebras. One can similarly form the universal family of (graded) deformation quantizations of the quantized hypertoric varieties A_X above, by performing quantum Hamiltonian reduction not merely at the 0 character but at arbitrary characters of $\mathfrak{t}^m$ (these were considered, for example, in [BLPW12]). Then, the above theorem goes through for these as well: given a character $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}^m)^*$, one considers the restriction $\zeta|_{\hat{\mathfrak{t}}}$ as in the proof of Theorem 67, and obtains the $\mathbf{C}^\times$-equivariant (continuous $\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar]\!]$-algebra) isomorphism:

$$A^{\hbar\zeta}_{X^\circ} \cong A_{Z^\circ}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar]\!]}A_S^{\hbar\zeta|_{\hat{\mathfrak{t}}}}, \tag{75}$$

where $A^{\hbar\zeta}_X := (\mathcal{D}_\hbar(\mathbf{A}^n)/(\mu_q(t) - \hbar\zeta(t))_{t\in\mathfrak{t}^m}\mathcal{D}_\hbar(\mathbf{A}^n))^{T^m}$, $A^{\hbar\zeta}_{X^\circ}$ is its completed localization, and we similarly define $A_S^{\zeta|_{\hat{\mathfrak{t}}}}$. Note that we need not deform A_{Z°; this makes sense since Z° is just the complement of some hyperplanes in a symplectic affine space. We conclude that Question 53 has a positive answer in the case of these quantizations. (One can also put the deformations together into a family and produce an isomorphism resembling that of Remark 70 and analogous to Theorem 63).

Remark 76. It would also be interesting to prove a sheafified version of the decomposition, parallel to the decomposition of [Los12, Theorem 2.5.3], which produces a global statement for sheaves on Z of completed quantizations, and to study how the decomposition varies as z (or the line $\mathbf{C}^\times \cdot z$) varies.

References

[BD] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld, *Quantization of Hitchin's integrable system and Hecke eigensheaves*, http://www.math.uchicago.edu/ mitya/langlands.html.

[BDMN] G. Bellamy, C. Dodd, K. McGerty, and T. Nevins, *Categorical cell decomposition of quantized symplectic algebraic varieties*, arXiv:1311.6804.

[BE09] R. Bezrukavnikov and P. Etingof, *Parabolic induction and restriction functors for rational Cherednik algebras*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **14** (2009), no. 3-4, 397–425. MR 2511190 (2010e:20007)

[Bea00] A. Beauville, *Symplectic singularities*, Invent. Math. **139** (2000), no. 3, 541–549, arXiv:math/9903070. MR MR1738060 (2001f:14008)

[BLPW] T. Braden, A. Licata, N. Proudfoot, and B. Webster, *Quantizations of conical symplectic resolutions II: category O*, arXiv:1407.0964.

[BLPW12] ______, *Hypertoric category $\mathscr{O}$*, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), no. 3-4, 1487–1545, arXiv:1010.2001. MR 2964613

[BPW12] T. Braden, N. Proudfoot, and B. Webster, *Quantizations of conical symplectic resolutions I: local and global structure*, arXiv:1208.3863, 2012.

[BS13] G. Bellamy and T. Schedler, *On the (non)existence of symplectic resolutions for imprimitive symplectic reflection groups*, arXiv:1309.3558, 2013.

[EG02] P. Etingof and V. Ginzburg, *Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero-Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism*, Invent. Math. **147** (2002), no. 2, 243–348. MR MR1881922 (2003b:16021)

[ES10] P. Etingof and T. Schedler, *Poisson traces and $\mathcal{D}$-modules on Poisson varieties*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **20** (2010), no. 4, 958–987, arXiv:0908.3868, with an appendix by I. Losev.

[ES12a] ______, *Coinvariants of Lie algebras of vector fields on algebraic varieties*, arXiv:1211.1883, 2012.

[ES12b] ______, *Zeroth Poisson homology of symmetric powers of isolated quasihomogeneous surface singularities*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **667** (2012), 67–88, arXiv:0907.1715. MR 2929672

[Har72] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic de Rham cohomology*, Manuscripta Math. **7** (1972), 125–140. MR 0313255 (47 #1810)

[Har75] ———, *On the De Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1975), no. 45, 5–99. MR 0432647 (55 #5633)

[Kal06] D. Kaledin, *Symplectic singularities from the Poisson point of view*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **600** (2006), 135–156. MR MR2283801 (2007j:32030)

[Los10] I. Losev, *Quantized symplectic actions and W-algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 1, 35–59. MR MR2552248

[Los12] Ivan Losev, *Completions of symplectic reflection algebras*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **18** (2012), no. 1, 179–251, arXiv:1001.0239. MR 2891864

[Nam13a] Y. Namikawa, *Equivalence of symplectic singularities*, Kyoto J. Math. **53** (2013), no. 2, 483–514. MR 3079311

[Nam13b] ———, *Fundamental groups of symplectic singularities*, arXiv:1301.1008, 2013.

[Nam14] ———, *A finiteness theorem on symplectic singularities*, arXiv:1411.5585, 2014.

[PS14] N. Proudfoot and T. Schedler, *Poisson-de Rham homology of hypertoric varieties and nilpotent cones*, arXiv:1405.0743, 2014.

[PW07] N. Proudfoot and B. Webster, *Intersection cohomology of hypertoric varieties*, J. Algebraic Geom. **16** (2007), no. 1, 39–63. MR 2257319 (2008m:14037)

[Wei83] A. Weinstein, *The local structure of Poisson manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **18** (1983), no. 3, 523–557.

[Xu14] C. Xu, *Finiteness of algebraic fundamental groups*, Compos. Math. **150** (2014), no. 3, 409–414. MR 3187625